

EXISTENCE OF MULTI-BUMP SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS INVOLVING THE BIHARMONIC OPERATOR ^{*}

Claudianor O. Alves [†], Alânnio B. Nóbrega [‡]

Universidade Federal de Campina Grande

Abstract

Using variational methods, we establish existence of multi-bump solutions for the following class of problems

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u + (\lambda V(x) + 1)u = f(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases}$$

where $N \geq 1$, Δ^2 is the biharmonic operator, f is a continuous function with subcritical growth, $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function verifying some conditions and $\lambda > 0$ is a real constant large enough.

1 Introduction

In this work, based in [5] and [1], we are concerned with the existence of multi-bump solutions for the following class of problems

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u + (\lambda V(x) + 1)u = f(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N); \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $N \geq 1$, Δ^2 denotes the biharmonic operator, $\lambda > 0$ is a positive parameter and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 , subcritical function and the potential $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we assume the following assumptions :

(V₁) $V(x) \geq 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;

(V₂) $\Omega = \text{int}V^{-1}(\{0\})$ is a non-empty bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Moreover, Ω has k connected components, more precisely,

- $\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^k \Omega_j$;
- $\text{dist}(\Omega_i, \Omega_j) > 0$, $i \neq j$.

(V₃) There is $M_0 > 0$ such that $|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; V(x) \leq M_0\}| < +\infty$.

In general, the works that are proposed to investigate the existence of multi-bump solutions need of the Penalization Method [4]. In the our case, it is not clear that the method developed in [4] can be used for our problem, because we are working with biharmonic operator. To overcome this difficulty, we have developed a new approach to get multi-bump avoiding the penalization on the nonlinearity. Our inspiration comes from an approach used in Bartsch & Wang [2, 3]. Here, we modify the sets where we will apply the Deformation Lemma.

^{*}Article submitted to VII WENLU

[†]C. O. Alves was partially supported by CNPq/Brazil 301807/2013-2 and INCT-MAT, coalves@mat.ufcg.edu.br

[‡]alannio@mat.ufcg.edu.br

2 Main Results

The main results of this paper is the following:

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $(f_1) - (f_4)$ and $(V_1) - (V_3)$ hold. Then, for each non-empty subset $\Gamma \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed, there is a $\lambda^* = \lambda^*(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that, (1.1) possesses a solution u_λ , for $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$, satisfying:*

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_j} \left[|\Delta u_\lambda|^2 + (\lambda V(x) + 1) |u_\lambda|^2 \right] dx - \int_{\Omega_j} F(u_\lambda) dx - c_j \right| < \varepsilon, \forall j \in \Gamma$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\Gamma} \left[|\Delta u_\lambda|^2 + |u_\lambda|^2 \right] dx < \varepsilon,$$

where $\Omega_\Gamma = \cup_{j \in \Gamma} \Omega_j$ and c_j is the minimax level of the energy functional related to the problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u + u = f(u), & \text{in } \Omega_j \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = 0, & \text{on } \partial \Omega_j. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

References

- [1] C.O. Alves, Existence of multi-bump solutions for a class of quasilinear problems, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **6**(2006),491-509 .
- [2] T. Bartsch and Z.Q. Wang, Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems on \mathbb{R}^N , *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **20** (1995), 1725-1741.
- [3] T. Bartsch and Z.Q. Wang, Multiple positive solutions for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **51** (2000), 366-384.
- [4] M. Del Pino and P.L. Felmer, Local mountain passes for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **4** (1996), 121-137.
- [5] Y.H. Ding and K.Tanaka , Multiplicity of Positive Solutions of a Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation, *Manuscripta Math.* **112** (2003), 109-135.