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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we will discuss the existence of a nontrivial solution of the following class of semilinear biharmonic problem involving critical exponents

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta^{2} u+a(x) u=h(x)|u|^{q-1} u+k(x)|u|^{p-1} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
& u \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad N \geq 5 \tag{P}
\end{align*}
$$

where $1<q<p \leq 2^{* *}-1=(N+4) /(N-4)$ and $a, h, k: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are bounded, nonnegative and continuous functions.

We recall that semilinear and quasilinear problems for second order equations have been extensively studied in the last years. For Laplace operator and subcritical case, they have been studied for instance by Rabinowitz [30], Coti-Zelati and Rabinowitz [14], Kryszewski and Szulkin [21], Alama and Li [1] when $a, h$ and $k$ are 1-periodic, while in the paper [25] Montecchiari has dropped the periodicity condition on $a, h$ and

[^0]$k$ and proved the existence of an uncountable set of bounded solutions provided that the functions $a, h$ and $k$ are small perturbations of the 1 -periodic functions in the sense that there exist periodic continuous function $a_{\infty}, h_{\infty}$ and $k_{\infty}$ and a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ "large at infinity", that is, $\forall R>0, \exists x \in A$ such that $B_{R}(x)=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:\|y-x\|<R\right\} \subset A$, and $\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists R>0$ such that
$$
\max \left\{\sup _{A \backslash B_{R}(0)}\left|a-a_{\infty}\right|, \sup _{A \backslash B_{R}(0)}\left|h-h_{\infty}\right|, \sup _{A \backslash B_{R}(0)}\left|k-k_{\infty}\right|\right\}<\varepsilon .
$$

On the other hand, Brezis and Nirenberg [8] have been the first to study semilinear elliptic problem in bounded domains involving critical exponents. After this paper, many authors have considered the problem above for Laplacian or p-Laplacian operators, exploring either the approach used by [8] or a combination of this technique with the concentration compactness principle of Lions ([22,23]), among others, we would like to mention Garcia and Peral [18], Ambrosetti, Garcia and Peral [4], Ambrosetti and Struwe [3], Capozzi et al. [10] and references therein when domain is bounded, and Noussair et al. [27], Benci and Cerami [5], Cao et al. [9], Pan [28], Ben-Naoum et al. [6] and references therein in whole space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Still in the critical case, Jianfu and Xiping [19] have treated a quasilinear elliptic problem where the function $a$ satisfies the following condition:

$$
\text { there exist } a_{\infty}>0 \text { such that }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x) \rightarrow a_{\infty}, \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty, a(x) \nsupseteq a_{\infty}, \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last inequality is strict on a subset of positive measure in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Recently, motived by the paper [19], Alves et al. [2] studied this kind of problems for the Laplacian operator with $h=\lambda$ and $k=1$, as a perturbation of the 1 -periodic problem.

For the biharmonic operators, in the case where $a=0$ and $p=2^{* *}-1$, adapting a compactness result due to Egnell [17], problem (P) was studied by Noussair et al. [26]. For other results involving biharmonic operators with critical growth, we refer to van der Vorst [31], Bernis et al. [7], Edmunds et al. [16], Pucci and Serrin [29] and references therein.

Here, we basically extend the results in [2] for the biharmonic operators, but different from the case $k=1$, the coefficient $k$ brings another difficulty with respect to the lack of compactness, but this was overcame by using a version of the concentration compactness principle for the biharmonic operator.

First of all we are going to prove that the 1-periodic problem (P) possesses a nontrivial solution assuming that the functions $a, h$ and $k$ satisfy the following hypothesis:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x+p)=a(x), \quad h(x+p)=h(x), \quad k(x+p)=k(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}^{N} \tag{h1}
\end{equation*}
$$

there exists $a_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& a(x) \geq a_{0}>0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{h2}\\
& h(x) \geq 0, \quad \inf _{x \in B(0, \delta)} h=\eta_{0}>0, \quad \delta>0 \tag{h3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(x)>0, \quad k(x)=|k|_{L^{\infty}}+O\left(|x|^{4}\right) \quad \text { near at the origin. } \tag{h4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we shall prove the existence of a nontrivial solution for the nonperiodic problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta^{2} u+A(x) u=H(x)|u|^{q-1} u+K(x)|u|^{2^{* *}-1} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\
& u \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), N \geq 5
\end{align*}
$$

when the functions $A, H, K: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are small perturbations of the 1-periodic functions $a, h$ and $k$, respectively. More precisely, $A, H, K$ are nonnegative and continuous functions verifying the following assumptions:
there exists $A_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(x)=a(x)-W(x) \geq A_{0} \quad \text { with } \quad W(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{h5}\\
& H(x)-h(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty, \\
& H(x) \geq h(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{h6}\\
& K(x)-k(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty, \\
& K(x) \geq k(x) \quad K(x)=|K|_{L^{\infty}}+\mathrm{O}\left(|x|^{4}\right) \quad \text { near at the origin, } \tag{h7}
\end{align*}
$$

where at least one of the inequalities above is strict on a subset of positive measure in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

We are now ready to state our main result.
Theorem 1.1. Assume that $W \in L^{N / 4}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), p=2^{* *}-1$, (h1)-(h7) hold. In addition, suppose either $N \geq 8$ and $q>1$ or $5 \leq N \leq 7$ and $p-2<q<p$. Then, problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\#}\right)$ has a nontrivial solution $u \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\#}\right)$ still possesses a nontrivial solution $u \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ provided $5 \leq N \leq 7,1<q \leq p-2$ and $\eta_{0}$ is sufficiently large.

## 2. Preliminary results

Let $E$ denote the Sobolev space $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ endowed with norm

$$
\|u\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}+a u^{2}\right),
$$

which is equivalent to usual norm on $E$. Also $|u|_{q}$ means the norm in $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
We shall state the following auxiliary result.
Theorem 2.1. Assume $p=2^{* *}-1$, (h1)-(h4). Suppose either

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \geq 8 \text { and } q>1 \text { or } 5 \leq N \leq 7 \text { and } p-2<q<p . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, problem ( P ) has a nontrivial solution $u \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Problem ( P ) still possesses a nontrivial solution $u \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
5 \leq N \leq 7, \quad 1<q \leq p-2 \quad \text { and } \quad \eta_{0} \text { is sufficiently large. } \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. Even this result it extends or complements those of some papers above mentioned in the sense that here the nonlinearity involves the Sobolev's critical exponent.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Consider the $C^{1}$ functional $I$ on $E$ given by

$$
I(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{2}+a u^{2}-\frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h|u|^{q+1}-\frac{1}{2^{* *}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k|u|^{2^{* *}}
$$

with Fréchet derivative

$$
I^{\prime}(u) v=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(\Delta u \Delta v+a u v)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h|u|^{q-1} u v-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k|u|^{2^{* *}-2} u v .
$$

First of all, we recall that it is standard to prove that $I$ verifies the following.
Lemma 3.1 (Mountain Pass Geometry). I satisfies the following conditions
(i) There exist $\rho, \beta>0$, such that $I(u) \geq \beta,\|u\|=\rho$.
(ii) There exist $e \in E,\|e\|>\rho$ such that $I(e) \leq 0$.

From the Lemma above, by using the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz Mountain Pass Theorem without (PS) condition (see [24]), it follows that there exists a (PS) $c_{c}$ sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, that is, $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, where $c$ is characterized by

$$
c=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I(\gamma(t))
$$

and

$$
\Gamma=\{\gamma \in C([0,1], E): I(\gamma(0))=0 \text { and } I(\gamma(1))=e\} .
$$

Since (2.1)-(2.2) hold, applying the same argument used in [8] (see also [11]), we conclude that the level $c$ defined above verifies the inequalities

$$
0<c<\frac{2}{N}|k|_{\infty}^{(4-N) / 4} S^{N / 4}
$$

where $S$ is the best Sobolev constant defined by

$$
S=\inf \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{2}: u \in D^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),|u|_{L^{2^{* *}}}=1\right\} .
$$

We remark that the infimum above is achieved by functions

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x)=C_{N}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^{2}+|x|^{2}}\right)^{(N-4) / 2}, \quad \varepsilon>0
$$

where $C_{N}$ is chosen so that $u_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\Delta^{2} u=u^{2^{* *}-1} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 5
$$

see (e.g. [26] or [16]).
Also, we will need the following lemma that gives a behavior of $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ sequence.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a (PS) $c_{c}$ sequence such that $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $E$, with $c<$ $2 / N|k|_{\infty}^{(4-N) / 4} S^{N / 4}$. Then, $k^{1 / 2^{* *}} u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2^{* *}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, in addition the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ verifies either
(a) $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $E$ or
(b) There exist $\rho, \eta>0$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\rho}\left(y_{n}\right)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} \geq \eta
$$

where $B_{r}(y)$ denotes the ball in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ of center at $y$ and radius $r$.
Proof. Since $u_{n} \longrightarrow 0$ weakly in $E$, we may suppose that

$$
k\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}} \rightharpoonup v \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{2} \rightharpoonup \mu \quad\left(\text { weak }^{*}\right. \text {-sense of measure.) }
$$

Using a version of the concentration compactness principle due to Lions [23] (see e.g. [31] for a bounded domains version, also [12]), there exist an at most countable index set $J$, distinct points $\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j \in J} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$, and nonnegative weights $\left\{\mu_{j}, v_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ such that

$$
v=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} \delta_{x_{j}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mu \geq \sum_{j \in J} \mu_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}
$$

where $\delta_{x_{i}}$ is the Dirac mass at $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Furthermore

$$
S\left(\frac{v_{i}}{|k|_{\infty}}\right)^{(N-4) / N} \leq \mu_{i}
$$

It can be proved (see [18, p. 881]) that

$$
v_{i} \geq|k|_{\infty}^{(4-N) / 4} S^{N / 4} \text { or } v_{i}=0
$$

Then

$$
J=\Phi(\text { empty set }) \text { or finite. }
$$

In our case $J=\Phi$. In fact, suppose on the contrary that $J \neq \Phi$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+o_{n}(1) & =I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2} I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{q+1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}+\frac{2}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}} \\
& \geq \frac{2}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}} \\
& \geq \frac{2}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Psi k\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Psi$ is a cut-off function, $\Psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 0 \leq \Psi \leq 1, \Psi \equiv 1$ on $B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{i}\right), \Psi \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{2 \varepsilon}\left(x_{i}\right),|\nabla \Psi| \leq 2 / \varepsilon$ and $|\Delta \Psi| \leq 2 / \varepsilon^{2}$.

Passing to the limit in the inequality above, we obtain

$$
c \geq \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i \in J} \Psi\left(x_{i}\right) v_{i}=\frac{2}{N} \sum_{i \in J} v_{i} \geq \frac{2}{N}|k|_{\infty}^{(4-N) / 4} S^{N / 4},
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, since $J=\Phi$, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left|u_{n}\right|^{\left.\right|^{* *}} \Psi \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall \Psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

that is,

$$
k^{1 / 2^{* *}} u_{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } L_{\text {loc }}^{2^{* *}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) .
$$

This proves the first part. Suppose that (b) does not hold. Using Lion's result ([22, Lemma 1.1] or [14, Lemma 2.18]) follows:

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

From $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}=o_{n}(1)$, we conclude

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}}+o_{n}(1) .
$$

Assume that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow l(l>0)$. Since $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}} \rightarrow l$, it follows that $c=2 / N l$.

Arguing as in [8] and observing that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} & \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{2} \geq S\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}}\right)^{2 / 2^{* *}} \\
& \geq \frac{S}{|k|_{\infty}^{2 / 2 * *}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}}\right)^{2 / 2^{* *}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we get that $c \geq 2 / N|k|_{\infty}^{(4-N) / 4} S^{N / 4}$, which is a contradiction, then (a) holds.
Let be $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ a (PS $)_{c}$ sequence which was obtained above such that

$$
0<c<\frac{2}{N}|k|_{\infty}^{(4-N) / 4} S^{N / 4}
$$

Using the inequality,

$$
I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{q+1} I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \leq M+\left\|u_{n}\right\|, \quad n \text { sufficiently large, }
$$

we conclude that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. Then we can assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $E$, (up to a subsequence).

Now, applying a Brezis and Lieb's result (see [20]) we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}-2} u_{n} v=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k|u|^{2^{* *}-2} u v+o_{n}(1), \quad \forall v \in E
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-1} u_{n} v=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h|u|^{q-1} u v+o_{n}(1), \quad \forall v \in E .
$$

From these equalities and keeping in mind that $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) v=o_{n}(1), \forall v \in E$, we obtain

$$
I^{\prime}(u) v=0, \quad \forall v \in E
$$

If $u \neq 0$, we have finished. Next, consider the case $u=0$.
By Lemma 3.2 and noting that $c>0$ we have that there exist $\rho, \eta>0$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ (we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\rho+1}\left(y_{n}\right)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} \geq \eta>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, letting $v_{n}(x)=u_{n}\left(x-y_{n}\right)$, since $a, h$ and $k$ are 1-periodic functions and by an easy computation we obtain

$$
\left\|v_{n}\right\|=\left\|u_{n}\right\|, \quad I\left(v_{n}\right)=I\left(u_{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad I^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Then, there exists $v \in E$ such that $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $E$ and it follows that $I^{\prime}(v)=0$.
We claim that

## Claim 1. $v \neq 0$.

Verification of Claim 1. By (3.1), taking a subsequence if necessary, we get

$$
\sqrt{\eta} \leq\left|v_{n}\right|_{L^{2}\left(B_{\rho}(0)\right)} \leq\left|v_{n}\right|_{L^{2}\left(B_{p+1}(0)\right)} \leq|v|_{L^{2}\left(B_{\rho+1}(0)\right)}+\left|v_{n}-v\right|_{L^{2}\left(B_{\rho+1}(0)\right)}
$$

thus, from the Sobolev's compact imbedding Theorem we conclude that $v \neq 0$. This proves Claim 1 and Theorem 2.1.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Some arguments of this proof were adapted from the articles [2,15,19]. Consider the functional $I_{W}: E_{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated to problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\#}\right)$, defined by

$$
I_{W}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{2}+(a-W) u^{2}-\frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} H|u|^{q+1}-\frac{1}{2^{* *}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K|u|^{2^{* *}},
$$

where $E_{W}$ denote the Sobolev space $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ endowed with the norm equivalent to usual norm in $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ given by

$$
\|u\|_{W}^{2}=\|u\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W u^{2},
$$

and we denote $I_{o}=I,\|\cdot\|_{o}=\|\cdot\|$ and $E_{o}=E$ for $W=0$.
Also, define

$$
J_{v}=\inf _{u \in M}\{I(u)\}
$$

where

$$
M=\left\{u \in E \backslash\{0\}: I^{\prime}(u) u=0\right\},
$$

which is nonempty from Theorem 2.1. The following result is crucial on our proof.

Lemma 4.1. (i) $J_{v}>0$.
(ii) There exists $u \in M$ such that $I(u)=J_{v}$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. (i) Suppose by contradiction that $J_{v}=0$, then there exists $u_{n} \in M$ such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow J_{v}=0$.

But, since $u_{n} \in M$ we have

$$
I\left(u_{n}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q+1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}+\frac{2}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}}+o_{n}(1) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Hence $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left|u_{n}\right|^{\left.\right|^{* *}} \rightarrow 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1} \rightarrow 0$. Combining with $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}=0$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $0 \neq u_{n} \in M$, we have

$$
1 \leq C_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q-1}+C_{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2^{* *}-2}
$$

Combining this inequality with (4.1) we reach a contradiction. This proves (i).
(ii) We claim that

Claim 2. $c=J_{v}$.
Verification of claim 2. Taking $u \in M$ and adapting an argument used in [15, p. 288], we have

$$
\max _{t \geq 0} I(t u)=I(u)
$$

Choose $t_{o} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_{o}=t_{o} u$ such that $I\left(u_{o}\right)<0$. Then $\gamma(t)=t u_{o} \in \Gamma$ and it follows that $I(u) \geq c$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{v} \geq c \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we are going to prove the reversed inequality. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. From the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ we get $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and in addition, arguing as in [19] or [15], there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{\prime}\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right) t_{n} u_{n}=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $t_{n} u_{n} \in M$. Using (4.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}=t_{n}^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}+t_{n}^{2^{* *}-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $t_{n}$ does not converge to 0 , otherwise, since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, using (4.4) we have $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, which is impossible since $c>0$. Also, $t_{n}$ does not go to infinity. In fact, dividing (4.4) by $t_{n}^{2^{* *}-2}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}}{t_{n}^{2^{* *}-2}}=t_{n}^{q-1-2^{* *}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}}+o_{n}(1) . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, assuming that $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, by (4.5) we get that $k^{1 / 2^{* *}} u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{2^{* *}}$. Then using the interpolation inequality it follows that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1} \rightarrow 0
$$

which, together with (4.6), leads to $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, contradicting $c>0$.
Hence, the sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and there exists $t_{o} \in(0, \infty)$ such that $t_{n} \rightarrow t_{o}$ (passing to a subsequence if necessary).

Subtracting (4.4) from (4.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
o_{n}(1)=\left(t_{n}^{q-1}-1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}+\left(t_{n}^{2^{* *}-2}-1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to the limit into (4.7), we obtain

$$
0=\left(t_{o}^{q-1}-1\right) l_{1}+\left(t_{o}^{2 * *}-2-1\right) l_{2}
$$

where $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}=l_{1} \geq 0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}}=l_{2}>0$.
Therefore, $t_{o}=1$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n} \rightarrow 1 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, by (4.8) and recalling that $t_{n} u_{n} \in M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{v} & \leq I\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right) \\
& =t_{n}^{2}\left[I\left(u_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{q+1}\left(1-t_{n}^{q-1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}+\frac{1}{2^{* *}}\left(1-t_{n}^{2^{* *}-2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left|u_{n}\right|^{2 * *}\right] \\
& =t_{n}^{2} I\left(u_{n}\right)+o_{n}(1) \\
& =\left(t_{n}^{2}-1\right) I\left(u_{n}\right)+I\left(u_{n}\right)+o_{n}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to the limit we obtain $J_{v} \leq c$. This concludes the verification of claim 2.
Since $I$ verifies the mountain-pass geometry, there is a bounded (PS) $)_{c}$ sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, so that there exists $u \in E$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $E$ (up to a subsequence). Since $V$ is periodic and $c=J_{v}<2 / N|k|_{\infty}^{(4-N) / 4} S^{N / 4}$, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can assume $u \neq 0$.

## Claim 3.

$$
I(u)=J_{v} \quad\left(\equiv c<\frac{2}{N}|k|_{\infty}^{(4-N) / 4} S^{N / 4}\right) .
$$

Verification of claim 3. First, we recall that $u$ is a nontrivial solution of (P), that is, $u \in M$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u) \geq J_{v} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{v} & =c=I\left(u_{n}\right)+o_{n}(1) \\
& =I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2} I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}+o_{n}(1) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q+1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}+\frac{2}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}}+o_{n}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, taking the limit and using Fatou's Lemma, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{v} & \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q+1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h|u|^{q+1}+\frac{2}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k|u|^{2^{* *}} \\
& =I(u)-\frac{1}{2} I^{\prime}(u) u \\
& =I(u),
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with (4.9) concludes the proof of Lemma 4.1.
Remark 2. From the proof of Lemma 4.1, we can choose $u \in M$ satisfying

$$
J_{v}=I(u) \quad \text { and } \quad I^{\prime}(u) \phi=0, \quad \forall \phi \in E .
$$

Note that from (h5)-(h7), it follows that $I_{W}$ satisfies the mountain-pass geometry (see Lemma 3.1), so that, there exists a (PS $)_{c_{W}}$ sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $E_{W}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{W}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{W} \quad \text { and } \quad I_{W}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c_{W}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{W}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{W}(\gamma(t))
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{W}=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], E_{W}\right): I_{W}(\gamma(0))=0 \text { and } I_{W}(\gamma(1)) \leq 0\right\},
$$

(see [13]). From Remark 2, let be $u \in M$ such that $I(u)=J_{v}$ and $I^{\prime}(u) \phi=0, \forall \phi \in E$.
We may assume that the Lebesgue's measure of the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} u \cap\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: W(x) \neq 0\right\} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is positive, otherwise from Remark 2, we have

$$
I^{\prime}(u) \phi=I_{W}^{\prime}(u) \phi=0, \quad \forall \phi \in C_{o}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

therefore, $u$ is a nontrivial solution of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\#}\right)$. Then, choosing $t^{*} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
0<c_{W} \leq \sup _{t \geq 0} I_{W}(t u)=I_{W}\left(t^{*} u\right)
$$

by using (h5)-(h7), (4.11) and recalling that $u \in M$, we have

$$
0<c_{W} \leq I_{W}\left(t^{*} u\right)<I\left(t^{*} u\right) \leq \sup _{t \geq 0} I(t u)=J_{v} .
$$

Hence

$$
c_{W}<J_{v}=c<\frac{2}{N}|k|_{\infty}^{(4-N) / 4} S^{N / 4} .
$$

It is standard to prove that the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in (4.10) is bounded, then up to a subsequence, we have $u_{n}-\bar{u}$ weakly in $E_{W}$ and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we conclude that $\bar{u}$ is a weak solution of ( $\mathrm{P}_{\#}$ ).

Finally we are going to prove that $\bar{u} \neq 0$.
Suppose on the contrary that $\bar{u}=0$, that is, $u_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $E_{W}$.
Since $W \in L^{N / 4}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, using a result by Brezis-Lieb ([20]) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W u_{n}^{2} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I\left(u_{n}\right)-I_{W}\left(u_{n}\right)\right| \leq & \left.\left|\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W u_{n}^{2}+\frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\right|(H-h) u_{n}^{q+1} \right\rvert\, \\
& +\frac{1}{2^{* *}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|(K-k) u_{n}^{2^{* *}}\right|=J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (4.12), (h6) and the Sobolev compact embedding theorem, the first two integrals go to zero, and by Lemma 3.2, more precisely, using the fact that $k^{1 / 2^{* *}} u_{n} \rightarrow 0$, in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2^{* *}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and (h7) the last one also goes to zero. Then

$$
\left|I\left(u_{n}\right)-I_{W}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|=o_{n}(1)
$$

Therefore,

$$
I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{W}<\frac{2}{N}|k|_{\infty}^{(4-N) / 4} S^{N / 4} .
$$

On the other hand, noting that $W \geq 0$ and taking $\phi \in E \subset E_{W}$ with $\|\phi\| \leq 1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-I_{W}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \phi\right|= & \left(\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W u_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} C+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|(H-h) u_{n}^{q} \phi\right| \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|(K-k) u_{n}^{2^{* *}-1} \phi\right| \\
= & I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $C>0$ and on the first inequality we used the Hölder's inequality.
As before, by Lemma 3.2, (h6)-(h7) and (4.12), the integral $I_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ goes to zero. It follows that

$$
I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

so that,

$$
I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{W}<J_{v} \quad \text { and } \quad I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Now, arguing as in the verification of Claim 2, there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
t_{n} \rightarrow 1, \quad I^{\prime}\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right) t_{n} u_{n}=0
$$

and

$$
c_{W} \geq J_{v}
$$

which is a contradiction.
This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## Acknowledgements

Part of this work was done while the second author was visiting the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences. He thanks Professor Louis Nirenberg and all the faculty and staff of CIMS-NYU for their kind hospitality.

## References

[1] S. Alama, Y.Y. Li, On "multibump" bound states for certain semilinear elliptic equations, Indiana Math. J. 41 (1992) 983-1025.
[2] C.O. Alves, P.C. Carrião, O.H. Miyagaki, Nonlinear perturbations of a periodic elliptic problem with critical growth, preprint.
[3] A. Ambrosetti, M. Struwe, A note on the problem $-\Delta u=\lambda u+u|u|^{2 *-2}$, Manuscripta Math. 54 (1986) 373-379.
[4] A. Ambrosetti, J. Garcia Azorero, I. Peral Alonso, Multiplicity results for some nonlinear elliptic equations, J. Funct. Anal. 137 (1996) 219-242.
[5] V. Benci, G. Cerami, Existence of positive solutions of the equation $-\Delta u+a(x) u=u^{(N+2) /(N-2)}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, J. Funct. Anal. 88 (1990) 90-117.
[6] A. Ben-Naoum, C. Troestler, M. Willem, Extrema problems with critical exponents on unbounded domain, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 26 (1996) 823-833.
[7] F. Bernis, J. Garcia Azorero, I. Peral, Existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions in semilinear critical problems of fourth order, Adv. Differential Equations 1 (1996) 219-240.
[8] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 36 (1983) 437-477.
[9] D.M. Cao, G.B. Li, H.S. Zhou, Multiple solutions for nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinb. 124A (1994) 1177-1191.
[10] A. Capozzi, D. Fortunato, G. Palmieri, An existence result for nonlinear problem involving critical Sobolev exponent, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Lineaire 2 (1985) 463-470.
[11] P.C. Carrião, O.H. Miyagaki, J.C. Pádua, Radial solutions of elliptic equations with critical exponents in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, Differential Integral Equations 11 (1998) 61-68.
[12] J. Chabrowski, João Marcos do Ó, On some fourth-order semilinear elliptic problems in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, preprint.
[13] V. Coti Zelati, A short introduction to critical point theory, Second School on Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications to Differential Equations, ICTP-Trieste, SMR 990-15, 1997.
[14] V. Coti-Zelati, P.H. Rabinowitz, Homoclinic type solutions for a semilinear elliptic PDE on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 45 (1992) 1217-1269.
[15] W.Y. Ding, W.M. Ni, On the existence of positive entire solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation, Arch. Rat. Mech. 91 (1986) 283-308.
[16] D.E. Edmunds, D. Fortunato, E. Jannelli, Critical exponents, critical dimensions and the biharmonic operator, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 112 (1990) 269-289.
[17] H. Egnell, Existence results for some quasilinear elliptic equations, in: H. Berestycki, J.M. Coron, I. Ekeland (Eds.), Variational Methods, Proceedings of a Conference, Paris, June 1988, Birkhauser, Basel 1990, pp. 61-76.
[18] J. Garcia Azorero, I. Peral Alonso, Multiplicity of solutions for elliptic problems with a nonsymmetric term, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 323 (1991) 877-895.
[19] Y. Jianfu, Z. Xiping, The quasilinear elliptic equation on unbounded domain involving critical Sobolev exponent, J. PDE. 2 (1989) 53-64.
[20] O. Kavian, Introduction à la théorie des points critiques et applications aux problems elliptiques, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
[21] W. Kryszewski, A. Szulkin, Generalized linking theorem with an application to semilinear Schrödinger equation, Res. Rep. Math. Stockholm Univ. 7 (1996) 1-27.
[22] P.L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case, Parts I and II, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Lineaire 1 (1984) 109-145, 223-283.
[23] P.L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case, part 1 and part 2, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 1 (1985) (1) 145-201, (2) 45-121.
[24] J. Mawhin, M. Willem, Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
[25] P. Montecchiari, Multiplicity results for a class of semilinear elliptic equations on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 95 (1996) 217-252.
[26] E. Noussair, C.A. Swanson, J. Yang, Critical semilinear biharmonic equations in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinb. 121A (1992) 139-148.
[27] E.S. Noussair, C.A. Swanson, Y. Jianfu, Quasilinear elliptic problems with critical exponents, Nonlinear Anal. TMA. 20 (1993) 285-301.
[28] X. Pan, Positive solutions of the elliptic equation $\Delta u+u^{(N+2) /(N-2)}+k(x) u^{q}=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and balls, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 172 (1993) 323-330.
[29] P. Pucci, J. Serrin, Critical exponents and critical dimensions for polyharmonic operators, J. Math. Pures Appl. 69 (1990) 55-83.
[30] P.H. Rabinowitz, A note on a semilinear elliptic equations on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, in: A. Ambrosetti, A. Marino (Eds.), A tribute in honor of Giovanni Prodi, Quaderni Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1991, pp. 307-318.
[31] R.C.A.M. van der Vorst, Fourth order elliptic equations with critical growth, C.R. Acad. Sci. 320 (1995) 295-299.


[^0]:    The second and third authors have been partially supported by $\mathrm{CNPq} / \mathrm{Brazil}$.

    * Corresponding author.

    E-mail address: jmbo@mat.ufpb.br (J. Marcos do Ó).

