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#### Abstract

This paper deals with a semilinear Schrödinger equation whose nonlinear term involves a positive parameter $\lambda$ and a real function $f(u)$ which satisfies a superlinear growth condition just in a neighborhood of zero. By proving an a priori estimate (for a suitable class of solutions) we are able to avoid further restrictions on the behavior of $f(u)$ at infinity in order to prove, for $\lambda$ sufficiently large, the existence of one-sign and sign-changing solutions. Minimax methods are employed to establish this result.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with nonlinear Schrödinger equations of the form

$$
i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=-\Delta \psi+W(x) \psi-\lambda|\psi|^{-1} g(|\psi|) \psi \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

or nonlinear equations of the Klein-Gordon type

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}=\Delta \psi+W(x) \psi-\lambda|\psi|^{-1} g(|\psi|) \psi \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

where $\psi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \lambda$ is a positive parameter, $W: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given potential and $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonlinear term. Here our special interest is in the existence of standing wave solutions, namely, solutions of type

$$
\psi(t, x)=\exp (-i E t) u(x),
$$

[^0]where $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u>0$ is a real function. It is known that if we seek for standing wave solutions we are led to look for solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations of the form
$$
-\Delta u+V(x) u=\lambda f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$
where $V: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the new potential for which is assumed to be uniformly positive, $\lambda$ is a positive parameter and $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the new nonlinearity. Such equations arise in various branches of mathematical physics and mathematical biology and they have been subject of extensive study in the past years, among others we refer to $[8,9,18,25,28-30$ ] and references therein.

The main purpose of the present paper is to establish the existence of signed and sign-changing solutions for problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\lambda}\right)$ with the nonlinearity $f(u)$ satisfying a superlinear growth condition just in a neighborhood of zero. This result can be considered as an extension of the main result in [13] concerning the nonlinear eigenvalue problem $-\Delta u=$ $\lambda f(u)$ with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$. See also [11] where the authors proved a multiplicity result for this class of problems. For related results under global superlinear conditions we refer to $[1,14,22-24]$ and references therein. The approach proposed here is in the spirit of [25] and based on a global variational point of view. Throughout the paper, we assume the following basic hypotheses on the potential:
$\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}\right) \quad V \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)>0$.
We consider the situation in which the potential $V(x)$ is possibly unbounded from above and also the case when actually the potential is "large" at infinity. Indeed, we prove the existence under either of the following assumptions on the potential:
$\left(\mathrm{V}_{2}\right) V(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$; or more generally, for every $M>0$, the set

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: V(x) \leqslant M\right\}
$$

has finite Lebesgue measure;
$\left(\mathrm{V}_{3}\right)$ The function $[V(x)]^{-1}$ belongs to $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
We assume that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function of class $C^{1}$ with primitive $F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(t) d t$ satisfying the following conditions:
( $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ ) there exists $p \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\limsup _{|s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(s) s}{|s|^{p}}<+\infty ;
$$

( $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ ) there exists $q \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\liminf _{|s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(s)}{|s|^{q}}>0 ;
$$

$\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ there exists $\theta \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
0<\theta F(s) \leqslant s f(s) \quad \text { for }|s| \neq 0 \text { small. }
$$

Here $N \geqslant 3,2^{*}=2 N /(N-2)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent. Of course, it follows from $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$ that $p \leqslant q$. Assumptions like ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ )-( $\mathrm{f}_{3}$ ) were already used in [11] and [13] in order to prove multiplicity results for a class of nonlinear eigenvalue problems on a bounded domain. Condition $\left(f_{3}\right)$ is a local version of the classical AmbrosettiRabinowitz condition.

A main difficulty in treating this class of semilinear Schrödinger equations $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\lambda}\right)$ is the possible lack of compactness due to the unboundedness of the domain besides that ours assumptions on the nonlinear term $f(u)$ refer solely to its behavior in neighborhood of zero. By using minimax methods and proving an a priori estimate (for a suitable class of solutions) we are able to avoid further restrictions on the behavior of $f(u)$ at infinity in order to prove, for $\lambda$ sufficiently large, the existence of three solutions of problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\lambda}\right)$. Next, we state our main result in a more precise way.

Theorem 1.1. Assume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}\right)-\left(\left(\mathrm{V}_{2}\right)\right.$ or $\left.\left(\mathrm{V}_{3}\right)\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$. Then problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least one positive solution, one negative solution and a sign-changing solution for all $\lambda$ sufficiently large.

Example 1.2. Note that the hypotheses of our main result are satisfied by nonlinear functions of the form
(a) $f(s)=s|s|^{\alpha-1} \ln (1+|s|)$ with $0<\alpha<1$ and $\alpha+2<2^{*}$. Since $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} s f(s) / F(s)=\alpha+2, \lim _{|s| \rightarrow \infty} s f(s) /$ $F(s)=\alpha+1$ and $\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} f(s) / s=0$ we see that this nonlinear function satisfies the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ near the origin but does not satisfy the usual global superlinear condition.
(b) $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f(s)=|s|^{p-2} s$ for $|s| \leqslant 1$ and $f(s)=e^{s^{2}}$ for $|s| \geqslant 2$ with $p \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$.
(c) $f(s)=a|s|^{p-2} s+b|s|^{r-2} s$ where $2<p<2^{*}<r$ and $a, b$ are positive constants.
(d) $f(s)=a|s|^{p-2} s+b|s|^{q-2} s e^{s^{2}}$ where $2<p<q<2^{*}$ and $a, b$ are positive constants.

Here, the nonlinear functions given in (b)-(d) do not satisfy the usual global subcritical conditions.
Remark 1.3. It is readily seen that using classical regularity arguments for elliptic equations one can see that weak solutions of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\lambda}\right)$ are indeed classical (see [16]).

The existence of a positive and a negative solution for the semilinear elliptic partial differential equation $-\Delta u+$ $V(x) u=f(x, u)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ can be found in [25] provided that $V(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, and $f(x, u)$ is subcritical and superlinear. In [6], among other things, the authors weakened the conditions on the potential $V$ and still obtained a positive and a negative solution. These results have been generalized in [5] and [7] where the existence of a sign changing solution has been obtained. Note that sign-changing solutions for elliptic semilinear problems have attracted much attention in the last decade and numerous papers were published; see, for instance [4,15,20,22,26,27,33] and references therein. To study sign-changing solutions, several authors have established an abstract critical point theory in partially ordered Hilbert space. The methods and the abstract critical point theory of $[2,18]$ involve the density of the Banach space $C(\Omega)$ of continuous functions in the Hilbert space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, where the cone of the positive functions has nonempty interior and this framework imposes stronger hypotheses on the nonlinearity and the domain. Indeed, it is required the boundedness of the domain and the stronger smoothness on the nonlinearity. The existence of sign changing solutions using properties of invariants set of descending flow defined by a pseudogradient field has been investigated by several authors (see $[4,19,26]$ ). Our results can also be considered as an extension of the above mention papers in the sense that we are considering only superlinear conditions in a neighborhood of the origin. Finally we mention that existence of sign-changing solutions for problems involving the $p$-Laplacian was studied recently in [3], and see also [32] for Kirchhoff type problems.

The outline of the paper is as follows: In the forthcoming section we have the modified problem and some preliminary results. In the third section we shall deal with the existence of signed solutions, while the fourth section is devoted to prove the existence of a sign-changing solution by using a linking type theorem together with an appropriated energy estimate.

Notation. In this paper we make use of the following notation:

- $C, C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$ denote positive (possibly different) constants.
- $B_{R}$ denotes the open ball centered at origin and radius $R>0$.
- $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ denotes the functions infinitely differentiable with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.
- For $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ denotes the usual Lebesgue space with norms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |u|_{p}:=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}, \quad 1 \leqslant p<\infty ; \\
& |u|_{\infty}:=\inf \left\{C>0:|u(x)| \leqslant C \text { almost everywhere on } \mathbb{R}^{N}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ denotes the Sobolev space modeled in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ with its usual norm

$$
\|u\|_{1,2}:=\left(|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}+|u|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

- By $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ we denote the duality pairing between $X$ and its dual $X^{\prime}$.
- We denote the weak convergence in $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ by " $\rightharpoonup$ " and the strong convergence by " $\rightarrow$."


## 2. Preliminaries and reformulation of the problem

At this stage, in order to apply variational methods, we consider the subspace of $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
E=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) u^{2} d x<\infty\right\}
$$

which is a Hilbert space when endowed with the inner product

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(\nabla u \nabla v+V(x) u v) d x, \quad u, v \in E
$$

and its correspondent norm $\|u\|=\langle u, u\rangle^{1 / 2}$.
Notice that, under assumption $\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}\right)$, for all $2 \leqslant r \leqslant 2^{*}$ we have

$$
E \hookrightarrow L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

with continuous embedding and with compact embedding if $2 \leqslant r<2^{*}$ and $V$ satisfies condition $\left(\mathrm{V}_{2}\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{V}_{3}\right)$ (these facts can be found in $[6,12,17,21]$ ).

Remark 2.1. It is readily seen that our method applies to other potentials, although we focus our attention on the case where the potential satisfies condition $\left(\mathrm{V}_{2}\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{V}_{3}\right)$; this does not require significant changes in our argument.

We observe that formally $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\lambda}\right)$ is the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to the following functional:

$$
\Psi_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(u) d x
$$

From the variational point of view, the first difficulty we have to deal with this problem, is the fact that since $\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{3}\right)$ give the behavior of $f(s)$ just in a neighborhood of zero, the functional $\Psi_{\lambda}$ is not well defined in $E$. To overcome this difficulty we use here a penalization technique in the spirit of the argument developed by Costa and Wang in [13] to obtain a new functional well defined in $E$. To this end, we first observe that $\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{2}\right)$ imply the existence of positive constants $C_{0}, C_{1}$ such that for $|s|$ small,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s) \leqslant C_{0}|s|^{p} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s) \geqslant C_{1}|s|^{q} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\rho(s)$ be an even cut-off function verifying $s \rho^{\prime}(s) \leqslant 0,\left|s \rho^{\prime}(s)\right| \leqslant 2 / \delta$ and

$$
\rho(s)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }|s| \leqslant \delta \\ 0 & \text { if }|s| \geqslant 2 \delta\end{cases}
$$

where $\delta$ is chosen such that (2.1), (2.2) and ( $\mathrm{f}_{3}$ ) hold for $|s| \leqslant 2 \delta$.
Now, setting

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F_{\infty}(s)=C_{0}|s|^{p} \\
G(s)=\rho(s) F(s)+(1-\rho(s)) F_{\infty}(s) \\
g(s)=G^{\prime}(s)
\end{array}\right.
$$

we introduce the auxiliary problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V(x) u=\lambda g(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with variational structure. More precisely, weak solutions of (2.3) are critical points of the $C^{2}$ functional $I_{\lambda}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
I_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G(u) d x .
$$

This fact is a consequence of the following result (see also [10,24,25] and [31] for regularity properties of the associated functional).

## Lemma 2.2.

(1) There exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(s)| \leqslant C|s|^{p-1} \quad \text { for all } s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) The Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition:

$$
0<\alpha G(s) \leqslant \operatorname{sg}(s) \quad \text { for all } s \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}
$$

where $\alpha=\min \{p, \theta\}$.
Proof. If $|s| \leqslant \delta$, we have $G(s)=F(s)$. It follows from ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ ) and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ that

$$
|g(s)|=\left|F^{\prime}(s)\right|=|f(s)| \leqslant C_{1}|s|^{p-1} .
$$

For $|s| \geqslant 2 \delta$, we have $G(s)=F_{\infty}(s)=C_{0}|s|^{p}$, consequently $|g(s)| \leqslant C_{2}|s|^{p-1}$. By definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(s)=\rho(s) f(s)+\rho^{\prime}(s)\left(F(s)-F_{\infty}(s)\right)+(1-\rho(s)) F_{\infty}^{\prime}(s) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|\rho^{\prime}(s) s\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{\delta}$, by (2.1) we get $\left|\rho^{\prime}(s) F(s)\right| \leqslant C_{3}|s|^{p-1}$ for all $\delta \leqslant|s| \leqslant 2 \delta$. Choosing $C=\max \left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}\right\}$, we obtain (2.4).

In order to prove (2) we observe that for $\alpha=\min \{p, \theta\}$ and $|s| \leqslant 2 \delta$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha G(s) & =\alpha \rho(s) F(s)+\alpha(1-\rho(s)) F_{\infty}(s) \\
& \leqslant \frac{\alpha}{\theta} \rho(s) s f(s)+\frac{\alpha}{p}(1-\rho(s)) s F_{\infty}^{\prime}(s) \\
& \leqslant \rho(s) s f(s)+(1-\rho(s)) s F_{\infty}^{\prime}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with (2.5) implies that

$$
\alpha G(s)-s g(s) \leqslant \rho^{\prime}(s) s\left(F_{\infty}(s)-F(s)\right) \leqslant 0
$$

since $\rho^{\prime}(s) s \leqslant 0$ and $F_{\infty}(s) \geqslant F(s)$. This shows (2) for $|s| \leqslant 2 \delta$. If $|s| \geqslant 2 \delta$ the inequality (2) is immediate and the proof is finished.

Lemma 2.3. The functional $I_{\lambda}$ exhibits the mountain-pass geometry:
(1) There exist $\rho>0$ and $C=C(\rho, \lambda)>0$ such that

$$
I_{\lambda}(u) \geqslant C \quad \text { for }\|u\|=\rho
$$

(2) There exists $e \in E$ with $\|e\|>\rho$ and $I_{\lambda}(e) \leqslant 0$.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.2 together with the Sobolev embedding we obtain

$$
I_{\lambda}(u) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda C_{2}|u|_{p}^{p} \geqslant\|u\|^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\lambda C_{4}\|u\|^{p-2}\right),
$$

for $\|u\|=\rho$ sufficiently small. Hence (1) holds, since $p>2$.

Next we prove (2). Using property (2) in Lemma 2.2 we obtain

$$
G(u) \geqslant C_{1}|u|^{\alpha}-C_{2} \quad \text { for all } s \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Thus, for any $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $t>0$, we have

$$
I_{\lambda}(t \varphi) \leqslant \frac{t^{2}}{2}\|\varphi\|^{2}-\lambda C_{1} t^{\alpha}|\varphi|_{\alpha}^{\alpha}-C_{2}
$$

which implies that $I_{\lambda}(t \varphi) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, since $\alpha>2$. Hence $I_{\lambda}(e) \leqslant 0$ for $e=t \varphi$ and $t$ large enough.
In order to show that solutions of penalized problem (2.3) are solutions of the original problem ( $\mathrm{P}_{\lambda}$ ), we will use the following $L^{\infty}$ estimate.

Lemma 2.4. If $u \in E$ is a weak solution of problem (2.3), then $u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Moreover, there exists $C=C(p, N)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{\infty} \leqslant C\left(\lambda\|u\|^{p-2}\right)^{1 /\left(2^{*}-p\right)}\|u\| . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u \in E$ be a weak solution of problem (2.3), that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla u \nabla \varphi d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) u \varphi d x=\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(u) \varphi d x, \quad \varphi \in E . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can assume, without lost of generality, that $u$ is nonnegative. Otherwise, we argue with the positive and negative parts of $u$ separately. For each $k>0$, we define $v_{k}=u_{k}^{2(\beta-1)} u$ and $w_{k}=u u_{k}^{\beta-1}$ with $\beta>1$ to be determined later, where

$$
u_{k}= \begin{cases}u & \text { if } u \leqslant k, \\ k & \text { if } u \geqslant k .\end{cases}
$$

Notice that $0 \leqslant u_{k} \leqslant u, \nabla u_{k} \nabla u \geqslant 0$ and $\left|\nabla u_{k}\right| \leqslant|\nabla u|$. Taking $v_{k}$ as a test function in (2.7) and using (2.4), we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{k}^{2(\beta-1)}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \leqslant-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) u_{k}^{2(\beta-1)} u^{2} d x-2(\beta-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{k}^{2(\beta-1)-1} u \nabla u_{k} \nabla u d x+C \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{p} u_{k}^{2(\beta-1)} d x .
$$

Now, observing that the first and the second terms in the right-hand side of the inequality above are nonpositive, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{k}^{2(\beta-1)}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \leqslant \lambda C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{p} u_{k}^{2(\beta-1)} d x=\lambda C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{p-2} w_{k}^{2} d x .
$$

This together with the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} w_{k}^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{2 / 2^{*}} & \leqslant C_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{k}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leqslant C_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[u_{k}^{2(\beta-1)}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+(\beta-1)^{2} u^{2} u_{k}^{2(\beta-2)}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& \leqslant C_{4} \beta^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{k}^{2(\beta-1)}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
& \leqslant \lambda C_{5} \beta^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{p-2} w_{k}^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used that $1+(\beta-1)^{2} \leqslant \beta^{2}$ for $\beta \geqslant 1$. Using the Hölder inequality, we get

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} w_{k}^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{2 / 2^{*}} \leqslant \lambda \beta^{2} C_{5}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{(p-2) / 2^{*}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} w_{k}^{22^{*} /\left(2^{*}-p+2\right)} d x\right)^{\left(2^{*}-p+2\right) / 2^{*}} .
$$

Observing that $\left|w_{k}\right| \leqslant|u|^{\beta}$ and since the embedding $E \hookrightarrow L^{2^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is continuous, we conclude that

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u u_{k}^{\beta-1}\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{2 / 2^{*}} \leqslant \lambda \beta^{2} C_{6}\|u\|^{p-2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{\beta 22^{*} /\left(2^{*}-p+2\right)} d x\right)^{\left(2^{*}-p+2\right) / 2^{*}}
$$

Choosing $\beta=1+\left(2^{*}-p\right) 2^{-1}$, we have $2 \beta\left(2^{*}-p+2\right)^{-1}=1$. Thus,

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u u_{k}^{\beta-1}\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{2 / 2^{*}} \leqslant \lambda \beta^{2} C_{6}\|u\|^{p-2}|u|_{\beta \alpha^{*}}^{2 \beta},
$$

where $\alpha^{*}=2\left(2^{*}\right)\left(2^{*}-p+2\right)^{-1}$. By the Fatou's lemma in $k$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{\beta 2^{*}} \leqslant\left(\lambda \beta^{2} C_{6}\|u\|^{p-2}\right)^{1 / 2 \beta}|u|_{\beta \alpha^{*}} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\beta_{0}=\beta$ and inductively $\beta_{m+1} \alpha_{*}=2^{*} \beta_{m}$ for $m=1,2, \ldots$, and applying the previous processes for $\beta_{1}$, by (2.8) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u|_{\beta_{1} 2^{*}} & \leqslant\left(\lambda \beta_{1}^{2} C_{6}\|u\|^{p-2}\right)^{1 / 2 \beta_{1}}|u|_{\beta_{1} \alpha^{*}} \\
& \leqslant\left(\lambda \beta_{1}^{2} C_{6}\|u\|^{p-2}\right)^{1 / 2 \beta_{1}}\left(\lambda \beta^{2} C_{6}\|u\|^{p-2}\right)^{1 / 2 \beta}|u|_{\beta \alpha^{*}} \\
& \leqslant\left(\lambda C_{6}\|u\|^{p-2}\right)^{1 / 2 \beta_{1}+1 / 2 \beta}(\beta)^{1 / \beta}\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{1 / \beta_{1}}|u|_{2^{*}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observing that $\beta_{m}=\chi^{m} \beta$ where $\chi=2^{*} / \alpha^{*}$, by iteration we obtain

$$
|u|_{\beta_{m} 2^{*}} \leqslant\left(\lambda C_{6}\|u\|^{p-2}\right)^{1 / 2 \beta \sum_{i=0}^{m} \chi^{-i}} \beta^{1 / \beta \sum_{i=0}^{m} \chi^{-i}} \chi^{1 / \beta \sum_{i=0}^{m} i \chi^{-i}}|u|_{2^{*}} .
$$

Since $\chi>1$ and

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 \beta} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \chi^{-i}=\frac{1}{2^{*}-p},
$$

we can take the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ to get

$$
|u|_{\infty} \leqslant C_{7}\left(\lambda\|u\|^{p-2}\right)^{1 /\left(2^{*}-p\right)}\|u\| .
$$

Thus, the proof is completed.
In order to get estimates on the critical level of the functional $I_{\lambda}$, important role will be played by the following energy functional:

$$
J_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} C_{1}|u|^{q} d x,
$$

where $q \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$ and $C_{1}$ is the positive constant given in (2.2). It is clear that $J_{\lambda}$ is a $C^{1}$-functional and enjoys the mountain-pass geometry. Moreover, in view of the compact embedding $E \hookrightarrow L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ we can apply the mountainpass theorem (see $[1,10,24,25,31]$ ) to conclude that the minimax level

$$
b_{\lambda}=\inf _{u \neq 0} \max _{t \geqslant 0} J_{\lambda}(t u)
$$

is a critical value of $J_{\lambda}$. Besides, we have the following estimate.

Lemma 2.5. There exists $C=C(N, q, V)>0$ independent of $\lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\lambda} \leqslant C \lambda^{-2 /(q-2)} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using one more time the compact embedding $E \hookrightarrow L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ it is easy to see that the infimum

$$
S_{V}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):=\inf \left\{\frac{\|u\|^{2}}{|u|_{q}^{2}}: u \in E \backslash\{0\}\right\}
$$

is attained. Let $u_{0} \in E$ be such that

$$
S_{V}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}}{\left|u_{0}\right|_{q}^{2}}
$$

Then we can obtain through straightforward calculations that

$$
\max _{t \geqslant 0} J_{\lambda}\left(t u_{0}\right)=\left(\frac{q-2}{2 q}\right)\left(C_{1} \lambda\right)^{-2 /(q-2)}\left(\frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}}{\left|u_{0}\right|_{q}^{2}}\right)^{q /(q-2)} .
$$

Consequently, $b_{\lambda} \leqslant C_{2} \lambda^{-2 /(q-2)} S_{V}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{q /(q-2)}$, which completes the proof.
The next result will be used in several arguments through this paper.
Lemma 2.6. If $u \in E$ is a critical point of $I_{\lambda}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{2} \leqslant \frac{2 \alpha}{\alpha-2} I_{\lambda}(u) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u \in E$ be a critical point of $I_{\lambda}$. By Lemma 2.2 we have

$$
\|u\|^{2}=\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(u) u d x \geqslant \lambda \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G(u) d x
$$

which implies that

$$
I_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G(u) d x \geqslant\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\|u\|^{2}
$$

and (2.10) is proved.

## 3. Signed solution via mountain-pass

In order to obtain positive and negative solutions of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\lambda}\right)$ we consider the following auxiliary functions:

$$
G_{1}(s)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
G(s) & \text { if } s>0, \\
0 & \text { if } s \leqslant 0,
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad G_{2}(s)= \begin{cases}G(s) & \text { if } s<0 \\
0 & \text { if } s \geqslant 0\end{cases}\right.
$$

and the associated functionals

$$
I_{i, \lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G_{i}(u) d x \quad \text { for } i=1,2
$$

From Lemma 2.3, applying the mountain-pass theorem (see $[1,10,24,25,31])$, there exists a sequence $\left(u_{i, \lambda}^{n}\right) \subset E$ such that

$$
I_{i, \lambda}\left(u_{i, \lambda}^{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{i, \lambda} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|I_{i, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{i, \lambda}^{n}\right)\right\|_{E^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $c_{i, \lambda}$ is the mountain-pass level

$$
c_{i, \lambda}=\inf _{h \in \Gamma_{i}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{i, \lambda}(h(t))
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{i}=\left\{h \in C([0,1], E): h(0)=0, I_{i, \lambda}(h(1))<0\right\}
$$

for $i=1,2$. It is straightforward to verify as consequence of Lemma 2.2 that the sequence above is bounded in $E$ and since the embedding $E \hookrightarrow L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is compact for $2 \leqslant r<2^{*}$, so that passing to the subsequence if necessary, we can assume that $u_{i, \lambda}^{n} \rightharpoonup u_{i, \lambda}$ weakly in $E$ and $u_{i, \lambda}^{n} \rightarrow u_{i, \lambda}$ in $L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Observe that

$$
\left\|u_{i, \lambda}^{n}-u_{i, \lambda}\right\|^{2}=\left\langle I_{i, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{i, \lambda}^{n}\right)-I_{i, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{i, \lambda}\right), u_{i, \lambda}^{n}-u_{i, \lambda}\right\rangle+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(g_{i}\left(u_{i, \lambda}^{n}\right)-g_{i}\left(u_{i, \lambda}\right)\right)\left(u_{i, \lambda}^{n}-u_{i, \lambda}\right) d x,
$$

where $g_{i}(s)=G_{i}^{\prime}(s)$. Since $\left|g_{i}(s)\right| \leqslant C|s|^{p-1}$, by Hölder's inequality we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\left(g_{i}\left(u_{i, \lambda}^{n}\right)-g_{i}\left(u_{i, \lambda}\right)\right)\left(u_{i, \lambda}^{n}-u_{i, \lambda}\right)\right| d x & \leqslant C\left(\left|u_{i, \lambda}^{n}\right|_{p}^{p-1}+\left|u_{i, \lambda}\right|_{p}^{p-1}\right)\left|u_{i, \lambda}^{n}-u_{i, \lambda}\right|_{p} \\
& \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left\langle I_{i, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{i, \lambda}^{n}\right)-I_{i, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{i, \lambda}\right), u_{i, \lambda}^{n}-u_{i, \lambda}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0,
$$

which implies that $\left\|u_{i, \lambda}^{n}-u_{i, \lambda}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, $I_{\lambda, i}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition and $c_{i, \lambda}$ is a critical value of $I_{i, \lambda}$ for $i=1,2$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $u_{i, \lambda}$ be a critical point of $I_{i, \lambda}$ in the level $c_{i, \lambda}$ for $i=1,2$. Then there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{i, \lambda}\right\| \leqslant C \lambda^{-1 /(q-2)} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $i=1,2$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i, \lambda} \leqslant d_{i, \lambda}=\inf _{u>0} \max _{t \geqslant 0} I_{i, \lambda}(t u) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are going to show (3.11) for $u_{1, \lambda}$. One can use the same argument to $u_{2, \lambda}$. Since $I_{1, \lambda}(u)=I_{\lambda}(u)$ for $u>0$, we deduce from Lemma 2.6 and (3.12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{1, \lambda}\right\|^{2} \leqslant C_{1} I_{\lambda}\left(u_{1, \lambda}\right)=C_{1} c_{1, \lambda} \leqslant C_{1} d_{1, \lambda} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that there exists $C>0$ such that $d_{1, \lambda} \leqslant C \lambda^{-2 /(q-2)}$. Indeed, by Lemma 2.5, $J_{\lambda}$ has a critical point $u_{\lambda}^{*}$ in the level $b_{\lambda}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right)\left\|u_{\lambda}^{*}\right\|^{2}=J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}^{*}\right)=b_{\lambda} \leqslant C_{2} \lambda^{-2 /(q-2)} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $\lambda\left\|u_{\lambda}^{*}\right\|^{q-2} \leqslant C_{3}$. The same argument employed in the proof of Lemma 2.4 shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u_{\lambda}^{*}\right|_{\infty} & \leqslant C\left(\lambda\left\|u_{\lambda}^{*}\right\|^{q-2}\right)^{1 /\left(2^{*}-p\right)}\left\|u_{\lambda}^{*}\right\| \\
& \leqslant C C_{3}^{1 /\left(2^{*}-p\right)}\left\|u_{\lambda}^{*}\right\| \leqslant C_{4} \lambda^{-1 /(q-2)} \leqslant 2 \delta,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\lambda>0$ sufficiently large. Also notice that by the choice of $\delta$, we have

$$
G_{1}(u)=G(u) \geqslant C_{1}|u|^{q} \quad \text { for } 0<u<2 \delta,
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1, \lambda} \leqslant \inf _{0<u \leqslant 2 \delta} \max _{t \geqslant 0} I_{1, \lambda}(t u) \leqslant \inf _{0<u \leqslant 2 \delta} \max _{t \geqslant 0} J_{\lambda}(t u) \leqslant \max _{t \geqslant 0} J_{\lambda}\left(t u_{\lambda}^{*}\right) . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may easily check that $\max _{t \geqslant 0} J_{\lambda}\left(t u_{\lambda}^{*}\right)=J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}^{*}\right)$. From (3.14) and (3.15) we get $d_{1, \lambda} \leqslant C \lambda^{-\frac{2}{q-2}}$. This inequality and (3.13) complete the proof of (3.11).

Now, we immediately deduce:

Proposition 1. The problem (2.3) has one positive solution $u_{1, \lambda}$ and one negative solution $u_{2, \lambda}$. Furthermore, we can choose $\lambda$ sufficiently large such that

$$
\left|u_{i, \lambda}\right|_{\infty} \leqslant \delta \quad \text { for } i=1,2 .
$$

Proof. It is a consequence of Lemmas 2.4 and 3.1.

## 4. Sign-changing solution

Using results obtained in Liu and Sun [19], the existence of sign-changing solution for the problem (2.3) was shown by Bartsch, Liu and Weth [4]. We will use recent results of Schechter and Zou [27] to obtain a solution with variational characterization. To do this, let us recall some basic results which are useful. We start by one of spectral theory.

Proposition 2. If $\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\left(\mathrm{V}_{2}\right)\right.$ or $\left.\left(\mathrm{V}_{3}\right)\right)$ hold, then the eigenvalue problem

$$
-\Delta w+V(x) w=\mu w \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

possesses a sequence of eigenvalues $0<\mu_{1}<\mu_{2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \mu_{k} \rightarrow \infty$, where each $\mu_{k}$ has finite multiplicity, the first eigenvalue $\mu_{1}$ is simple with positive eigenfunction $\varphi_{1}$ and the eigenfunctions $\varphi_{k}$ correspondent to $\mu_{k}(k \geqslant 2)$ are sign-changing.

Proof. We sketch the argument here. For every $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, there exists a unique $w \in E$ such that

$$
-\Delta w+V(x) w=f \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

Denote $L=-\Delta+V$. Then the operator $L$ has an inverse $L^{-1}$. Moreover, using the fact that the embedding $E \hookrightarrow$ $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is compact, we conclude that the operator $L: L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is compact. Then, from spectral theory of symmetric compact operators on Hilbert space, we obtain the result.

Remark 4.1. For each integer positive $k$, we denote by $N_{k}$ the eigenspace associated to $\mu_{k}$ and $E_{k} \doteq N_{1} \oplus N_{2} \oplus$ $\cdots \oplus N_{k}$. Since the norms $\|u\|$ and $|u|_{2}$ are equivalent in $E_{k}$, there exists a positive constant $v_{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{2} \leqslant v_{k}|u|_{2}^{2} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in E_{k}$.
The following result holds.
Lemma 4.2. Let $b_{k, \lambda}=\sup _{u \in E_{k}} I_{\lambda}(u)$ and $2<p \leqslant q<2^{*}$. For each $\lambda \in[1, \infty)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k, \lambda} \leqslant C_{*} C_{k} \lambda^{-2 /(q-2)}, \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{k}=v_{k}^{q /(q-2)}+v_{k}^{p /(p-2)}$ and $C_{*}$ depends only on $p, q$ and $k$.
Proof. For each $u \in E_{k}$, we define $\Omega_{1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)| \geqslant 2 \delta\right\}, \Omega_{2}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|<2 \delta\right\}, u_{1}=u_{\mid \Omega_{1}}$ and $u_{2}=$ $u_{\mid \Omega_{2}}$. By choice of $\delta>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(u) \geqslant F(u) \geqslant C_{1}|u|^{q} \quad \text { if }|u|<2 \delta,  \tag{4.18}\\
& G(u)=F_{\infty}(u)=C_{0}|u|^{p} \quad \text { if }|u| \geqslant 2 \delta . \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $E_{k}$ has finite dimension, we deduce from (4.18) and (4.19) that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G\left(u_{2}\right) d x \geqslant C_{1}\left|u_{2}\right|_{q}^{q} \geqslant C_{*}\left|u_{2}\right|_{2}^{q}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G\left(u_{1}\right) d x \geqslant C_{0}\left|u_{1}\right|_{p}^{p} \geqslant C_{*}\left|u_{1}\right|_{2}^{p} .
$$

From these estimates and (4.16), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(u) & \leqslant \frac{v_{k}}{2}|u|_{2}^{2}-\lambda C_{*}\left|u_{1}\right|_{2}^{p}-\lambda C_{*}\left|u_{2}\right|_{2}^{q} \\
& =\frac{v_{k}}{2}\left|u_{1}\right|_{2}^{2}-\lambda C_{*}\left|u_{1}\right|_{2}^{p}+\frac{v_{k}}{2}\left|u_{2}\right|_{2}^{2}-\lambda C_{*}\left|u_{2}\right|_{2}^{q} \\
& \leqslant C_{*} v_{k}^{p /(p-2)} \lambda^{-2 /(p-2)}+C_{*} v_{k}^{q /(q-2)} \lambda^{-2 /(q-2)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $p \leqslant q$, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k, \lambda} \leqslant C_{*} C_{k} \lambda^{-2 /(q-2)}, \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{k}=v_{k}^{p /(p-2)}+v_{k}^{q /(q-2)}$ and $C_{*}=C_{*}(p, q, k)$. Hence (4.17) is proved.
In the following we consider the convex cones $P^{+}=\{u \in E: u(x) \geqslant 0\}$ and $P^{-}=\{u \in E: u(x) \leqslant 0\}$. For $\epsilon>0$, we define

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}^{+}=\left\{u \in E: \operatorname{dist}\left(u, P^{+}\right)<\epsilon\right\}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}^{-}=\left\{u \in E: \operatorname{dist}\left(u, P^{-}\right)<\epsilon\right\},
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}=\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}^{+} \cup \mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}^{-}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{\epsilon}=E \backslash \mathcal{D}_{\epsilon} .
$$

We set $\mathcal{K}[a, b]=\left\{u \in E: I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)=0, a \leqslant I_{\lambda}(u) \leqslant b\right\}$. Notice that the gradient of $I_{\lambda}$ at the point $u, I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u): E \rightarrow E$ has the form

$$
I_{\lambda}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Id}_{E}-K_{I_{\lambda}}
$$

where $K_{I_{\lambda}}: E \rightarrow E$ is given by $K_{I_{\lambda}}(u)=(-\Delta+V)^{-1}[\lambda g(u())$.$] . In other words K_{I_{\lambda}}(u)$ is uniquely determined by the relation

$$
\left(K_{I_{\lambda}}(u), \varphi\right)=\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(u(x)) \varphi d x \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in E .
$$

Here, we assume the following assumption:
$\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right) K_{I_{\lambda}}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}^{ \pm}\right) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\epsilon / 2}^{ \pm}$.
Next, we consider the following class (see [27]):

$$
\Phi:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h \in C([0,1] \times E, E) \text { such that } h(0, .)=\operatorname{Id}_{E} ; h(t, .): E \rightarrow E \text { is a homeomorphism of } E \\
\text { onto itself for all } t \in[0,1) \text { and } h^{-1} \text { is continuous on }[0,1) \times E ; \text { there exists } x_{0} \in E \\
\text { such that } h(1, x)=x_{0} \text { for each } x \in E \text { and that } h(t, x) \rightarrow x_{0} \text { as } t \rightarrow 1 \text { uniformly } \\
\text { on bounded subsets of } E
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Definition 4.3. A subset $A$ of $E$ links a subset $B$ of $E$ if $A \cap B=\emptyset$ and, for each $h \in \Phi$, there is $t \in[0,1]$ such that $h(t, A) \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

Finally, we define

$$
\Phi^{*}:=\left\{h \in \Phi: h\left(t, \mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}\right) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}\right\} .
$$

Notice that $h(t, x)=(1-t) x \in \Phi^{*}$.
We will achieved the existence of a sign-changing solution by using the following linking theorem (see [27, Theorem 2.1]).

Theorem 4.4. Suppose that $\left(a_{1}\right)$ holds. Assume that a compact subset $A$ of $E$ links a closed subset $B$ of $\mathcal{S}_{\epsilon}$ and

$$
a_{0}:=\sup _{A} I_{\lambda} \leqslant b_{0}:=\inf _{B} I_{\lambda} .
$$

If $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies the (PS) condition for all

$$
c \in\left[b_{0}, \sup _{(t, u) \in[0,1] \times A} I_{\lambda}((1-t) u)\right],
$$

then $\mathcal{K}\left[a^{*}-\epsilon, a^{*}+\epsilon\right] \cap\left(E \backslash\left(P^{-} \cup P^{+}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\epsilon$ small, where

$$
a^{*}:=\inf _{h \in \Phi^{*}} \sup _{h([0,1] \times A) \cap \mathcal{S}_{\epsilon}} I_{\lambda}(u) .
$$

In order to apply Theorem 4.4, we fix $m>k+2$ and let us define

$$
B_{m}=\left(N_{k} \oplus N_{k+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{m}\right) \cap \bar{B}_{\rho_{0}},
$$

where $\rho_{0}$ is given in Lemma 2.3. Take $\rho_{0}<R$ and consider the set

$$
A=\left\{u=v+s w: v \in E_{k-1}, s \geqslant 0, w \in N_{k},\|w\|=1,\|u\|=R\right\} \cup W,
$$

where $W=E_{k-1} \cap B_{R}$. Then $A$ and $B_{m}$ link each other (see [27]). Note still that $A$ is independent of $m$ for $m$ large.
Let $P_{m}^{ \pm}=P^{ \pm} \cap E_{m}$ be the positive and negative cone in $E_{m}$. Since all elements in $E_{m}$ change sign, we have $P_{m}^{ \pm} \cap B_{m}=\emptyset$. This together with the compactness of $B_{m}$ imply the existence of $\epsilon_{m}>0$ such that

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(B_{m}, P_{m}^{ \pm}\right)=\epsilon_{m}>0
$$

Now, define

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}^{ \pm}(m)=\left\{u \in E_{m}: \operatorname{dist}\left(u, P_{m}^{ \pm}\right)<\epsilon\right\} .
$$

Taking $G_{m}=G_{E_{m}}$, we have

$$
G_{m}^{\prime}(u)=u-\underset{m}{\operatorname{Proj}}\left(K_{G} u\right), \quad u \in E_{m},
$$

where $\operatorname{Proj}_{m}$ denotes the projection of $E$ onto $E_{m}$. In order to verify the condition (a $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ ) in Theorem 4.4, we need the following lemma which can be found in [27, Lemma 3.11], see also [4, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 4.5. There exists $\epsilon_{0} \in\left(0, \epsilon_{m}\right)$ such that

$$
\underset{m}{\operatorname{Proj}} K_{G}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon_{0}}^{ \pm}(m)\right) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\epsilon_{0} / 2}^{ \pm}(m)
$$

In particular, the condition $\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)$ is satisfied.
Proof. The proof is a straightforward adaptation of the proof of [27, Lemma 3.11].
Remark 4.6. We can see that condition ( $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ ) holds if $|g(u)| \leqslant d|u|+C(d)|u|^{p-1}$ for $u \in \mathbb{R}$, where $2 d=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)$ (see [4, Lemma 3.1]).

Proposition 3. The problem (2.3) has a sign-changing solution $u_{\lambda}$. Furthermore, we have the following estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\lambda}\right|_{\infty} \leqslant \delta \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\lambda$ sufficiently large.
Proof. We adapt the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [27]. For each integer $m>k+1$, let
$\mathcal{D}(m):=\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon_{0}}^{+}(m) \cup \mathcal{D}_{\epsilon_{0}}^{-}(m) \quad$ and $\quad \mathcal{S}_{m}:=E_{m} \backslash \mathcal{D}(m)$.
By Theorem 4.4, there exists $\left(u_{m}\right) \subset E_{m} \backslash P_{m}^{ \pm}$such that $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right)=0$ and

$$
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{m}\right) \in\left[b_{0}-\bar{\epsilon}, \sup _{(t, u) \in[0,1] \times A} I_{\lambda}((1-t) u)+\bar{\epsilon}\right]
$$

for all $\bar{\epsilon}$ small enough. This implies that $\left(u_{m}\right)$ is a (PS) sequence. Since $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies the (PS) condition, using the notation $u_{m}^{ \pm}:=\max \left\{ \pm u_{m}, 0\right\}$, we get

$$
\left\|u_{m}^{ \pm}\right\|^{2}=\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g\left(u_{m}^{ \pm}\right) u_{m}^{ \pm} d x
$$

This together with inequality (2.4) imply

$$
\left\|u_{m}^{ \pm}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \lambda C\left|u_{m}^{ \pm}\right|_{p}^{p}
$$

Since $2<p<2^{*}$, by the Sobolev embedding $E \hookrightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ we get

$$
\left\|u_{m}^{ \pm}\right\| \geqslant C>0 .
$$

Thus, the limit $u_{\lambda}$ of a subsequence of $\left(u_{m}\right)$ is a sign-changing solution of (2.3).
In view of Lemma 2.6, we have

$$
\left(\frac{\alpha-2}{2 \alpha}\right)\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \liminf \left(\frac{\alpha-2}{2 \alpha}\right)\left\|u_{m}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \liminf I_{\lambda}\left(u_{m}\right) .
$$

Also notice that

$$
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{m}\right) \leqslant a^{*}=\inf _{h \in \Phi^{*}} \sup _{h([0,1] \times A) \cap \mathcal{S}} I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)+\bar{\epsilon} \leqslant \sup _{(t, u) \in[0,1] \times A} I_{\lambda}\left((1-t) u_{\lambda}\right)+\bar{\epsilon} .
$$

Since $A$ is independent of $m$ for $m$ large ( $m>k+1$ ), we deduce from Lemma 4.2 that

$$
\sup _{(t, u) \in[0,1] \times A} I_{\lambda}\left((1-t) u_{\lambda}\right) \leqslant b_{k, \lambda} \leqslant C_{*} C_{k} \lambda^{-2 /(q-2)} .
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2} \leqslant C \lambda^{-2 /(q-2)}+\bar{\epsilon},
$$

which together with Lemma 2.4 imply the required result.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. This proof is a immediate consequence of Propositions 1 and 3.
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