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#### Abstract

It has been shown by Trudinger and Moser that for normalized functions $u$ of the Sobolev space $\mathbb{W}^{1, N}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega$ is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, the integral $\int_{\Omega} \exp \left(u^{\alpha_{N} N /(N-1)}\right) d x$ remains uniformly bounded. Carleson and Chang proved that there exists a corresponding extremal function in the case that $\Omega$ is the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. In this paper we give a new proof, a generalization, and a new interpretation of this result. In particular, we give an explicit sequence which is maximizing for the above integral among all normalized "concentrating sequences".


## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Critical growth in $W^{1, q}$ : the case $q=N$ versus $1<q<N$

We recall the following facts: let $W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)$ denote the Sobolev space over a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$, with norm $\|u\|_{q}^{q}=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{q} d x$. Then, for $1<q<N$ critical growth can be expressed by the following relations: Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\|u\|_{q}=1} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x=s_{N, q}(p) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{N, q}(p)<\infty \quad \text { for } 1<p \leq q^{*}=\frac{q N}{N-q} \\
& s_{N, q}(p)=+\infty \text { for } \quad p>q^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

The value of the best Sobolev constant $s_{N, q}\left(q^{*}\right)$ is explicit and independent of the domain $\Omega$, and it is known that it is never attained in a smooth domain different from $\mathbb{R}^{N}: s_{N, q}\left(q^{*}, \Omega\right)=s_{N, q}\left(q^{*}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and only $s_{N, q}\left(q^{*}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is attained.

If $q=N$, then critical growth is given by the Trudinger-Moser inequality, which can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\|u\|_{N}=1} \int_{\Omega}\left(e^{\alpha u^{N /(N-1)}}-1\right) d x=c_{N}(\alpha) \cdot|\Omega| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(note the dependence on $|\Omega|$ ); then, denoting by $\omega_{N-1}$ the ( $N-1$ )-dimensional surface of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, one has

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
c_{N}(\alpha)<+\infty & \text { for } & 0<\alpha \leq \alpha_{N}=N \omega_{N-1}^{1 /(N-1)} \\
c_{N}(\alpha)=+\infty & \text { for } & \alpha>\alpha_{N}
\end{array}
$$

In this note we consider general nonlinearities with subcritical and critical growth in the case $q=N$. We will suppose throughout this paper that

F1) $\quad F \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$
F2) $\quad F$ is increasing on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$, and $F(t)=F(|t|)$
F3) $0 \leq F(t) \leq e^{\alpha_{N}|t|^{N /(N-1)}}-1$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$
Then we say, for dimension $N$

$$
F \text { has subcritical growth if } \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t)}{e^{\alpha_{N}}|t|^{N /(N-1)}}=0 ;
$$

otherwise we say that $F$ has critical growth; in this case we normalize to $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} F(t) e^{-\alpha_{N}|t|^{N /(N-1)}}=1$, i.e. we say

$$
F \text { has critical growth if } \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t)}{e^{\alpha_{N}}|t|^{N /(N-1)}}=1
$$

As is known from the cases $1<q<N$, the notion of criticality is closely related to the behaviour of the functional on concentrating sequences, i.e. (in the case $q=N$ ) sequences $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converging weakly to 0 in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ and such that $\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{N}$ converges to a Dirac delta-function in measure. We make the

Definition $1 A$ sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ is a normalized concentrating sequence, if
a) $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{N}=1$
b) $u_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$, weakly in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$
c) $\exists x_{0} \in \Omega$ such that $\forall \rho>0: \int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{N} d x \rightarrow 0$

We first consider the behaviour of the functional $\int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n}\right) d x$, with subcritical nonlinearities $F$, for normalized concentrating sequences $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$. We will prove:

Theorem 2 If $F$ has subcritical growth, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n}\right) d x=0$, for any normalized concentrating sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$.

By a concentration-compactness alternative of P.L. Lions it will be easy to conclude by Theorem 2 that

Theorem 3 If $F$ has subcritical growth, then

$$
\sup _{\|u\|_{N}=1} \int_{\Omega} F(u) d x
$$

is attained.

Next, we consider critical growth; here we restrict the considerations to the case $\Omega=B_{1}(0)$, the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Studying again the behaviour of the functional on normalized concentrating sequences, we find

Theorem 4 Let $\Omega=B_{1}(0)$. If $F$ has critical growth, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n}\right) d x \in\left[0, e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}|\Omega|\right]
$$

for any normalized concentrating sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$. In particular, there exists an explicit normalized concentrating sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ with

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} F\left(y_{n}\right) d x=e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}|\Omega| .
$$

We now turn to the question whether the supremum is attained in the case of critical growth. In an interesting and intricate paper Carleson and Chang [3] have shown that

$$
\sup _{\|u\|_{N}=1} \int_{B_{1}(0)} e^{\alpha_{N} u^{N /(N-1)}} d x
$$

is attained. For $N=2$, this result was extended to general bounded domains $\Omega$ by Flucher [4], using symmetrization and conformal deformations.

Here we will prove more generally

Theorem 5 Suppose that $F$ satisfies F1-F3 and
F4) $\quad F_{\lambda}(t) \geq e^{\alpha_{N} t^{N /(N-1)}}-1-\lambda t^{N /(N-1)}$.
Then, for $\lambda<\alpha_{N}$, one has

$$
C_{N, \lambda}=\sup _{\|u\|_{N}=1} \int_{B_{1}(0)} F_{\lambda}(u) d x>e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}|\Omega|,
$$

and $C_{N, \lambda}$ is attained.

### 1.2 Application to the existence problem for related PDE

The relations (1) and (2) are important with regard to the solvability of the related differential equations with critical growth:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-\Delta u=|u|^{2^{*}-2} u+g(u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3}\\
u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

respectively

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-\Delta u=h(u) e^{4 \pi u^{2}}=e^{4 \pi u^{2}+\log (h(u))} & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4}\\
u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $g$ and $h$ are functions with subcritical growth:

$$
\frac{g(s)}{s^{2^{*}-1}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad s \rightarrow \infty
$$

respectively

$$
\frac{\log (h(s))}{s^{2}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad s \rightarrow \infty
$$

Solutions of (3) are given as critical points of the related functional

$$
I(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}|u|^{2^{*}}-G(u) d x
$$

where $G(s)=\int_{0}^{s} g(r) d r$. Due to the fact that the supremum in (1) is not attained, one finds for the functional $I(u)$ certain levels at which the compactness condition of Palais-Smale fails. In the famous paper [2] of BrezisNirenberg it was shown that one can overcome this obstacle and prove existence if one shows, using properties of the lower order term $g$, that the
critical levels of the functional avoid these non-compactness levels. To obtain such statements, one uses special sequences of functions obtained from the maximizing sequence for (1), which are explicit concentrating functions converging weakly to zero.

It has been shown in [1] by Adimurthi and in [5] that similar methods can be employed to prove existence results also for equation (2). Indeed, considering the associated functional to (4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}-F(u) d x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} h(r) e^{4 \pi r^{2}} d r$, one finds again levels of non-compactness; however, due to the fact that the best constant $c_{2}(4 \pi)$ is attained, there is no natural concentrating sequence to be used to show that these levels are avoided. Thus, it is difficult to obtain optimal existence results. The sequence used in [1] and [5] is the so-called Moser sequence

$$
z_{n}(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
(\log n)^{1 / 2} & \text { if } & 0 \leq|x| \leq \frac{1}{n}  \tag{6}\\
\frac{\log \frac{1}{|x|}}{(\log n)^{1 / 2}} & \text { if } \frac{1}{n} \leq|x| \leq 1 \\
0 & \text { if } & |x|>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

which was proposed by J. Moser in [8] to prove that the inequality (2) is sharp with respect to the constant $4 \pi$ in the exponent. This sequence satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{1}}\left(e^{4 \pi u_{n}^{2}}-1\right) d x=2 \pi \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the explicit concentrating sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ with $\left\|y_{n}\right\|=1$ mentioned in Theorem 4 satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{1}}\left(e^{4 \pi u_{n}^{2}}-1\right) d x=e \pi \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the proof of existence of solutions for equation (4) as done in [5] depends on the value of the limit (7) above, we can improve the result obtained using the limit (8). We will prove

Theorem 6 Assume that $h \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and let $f(s)=h(s) e^{4 \pi s^{2}}$. Assume that
H1) $f(0)=0$
H2) $\exists s_{0}>0, \exists M>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & <F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(r) d r \leq M|f(s)|, \quad \forall|s| \geq s_{0} \\
\text { НЗ) } 0 & <F(s) \leq \frac{1}{2} f(s) s, \forall s \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Then equation (4) has a solution provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} h(s) s=\beta>\frac{1}{e \pi} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that in [5] it was proved that (4) has a solution provided that $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} h(s) s=\beta>\frac{1}{2 \pi}$. We refer to [6] for non-existence results concerning equation (4).

## 2 Proofs

### 2.1 Proof of Theorem 2:

Step 1. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ denote a normalized concentrating sequence as defined above. We may suppose that the concentration point is $0 \in \Omega$, and that $u \geq$ 0 (since both $\|u\|_{N}$ and $F(u)$ do not change replacing $u$ by $\left.|u|\right)$. We apply symmetrization (following J. Moser [8]), defining the radially symmetric function $u^{*}$ as follows: let

$$
m\left\{x \mid u^{*}(x)>\rho\right\}=m\{x \in \Omega \mid u(x)>\rho\} \quad \text { for every } \rho>0 .
$$

Then $u^{*}$ is a decreasing function in $|x|$, with $u^{*}(|x|)=0$ for $|x|>R$, where $m\left(B_{R}(0)\right)=m(\Omega)$. By construction

$$
\int_{B_{R}} F\left(u_{n}^{*}\right) d x=\int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n}\right) d x,
$$

and it is known that

$$
1=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{N} d x \geq \int_{B_{R}}\left|\nabla u_{n}^{*}\right|^{N} d x
$$

Setting $z_{n}=\frac{u_{n}^{*}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{N}} \geq u_{n}^{*}$ we thus find, using the monotonicity of $F(t)$

$$
\int_{B_{R}} F\left(u_{n}^{*}\right) d x \leq \int_{B_{R}} F\left(z_{n}\right) d x
$$

Hence it suffices to show that $\int_{B_{R}} F\left(z_{n}\right) d x=\omega_{N-1} \int_{0}^{R} F\left(z_{n}(\rho)\right) \rho^{N-1} d \rho \rightarrow 0$.

Step 2. To prove that $\int_{0}^{R} F\left(z_{n}\right) \rho^{N-1} d \rho \rightarrow 0$, we perform a change of variable which transforms the radial integral on $[0, R)$ into an integral on the half-line $[0,+\infty)$. Let

$$
\rho=\operatorname{Re}^{-t / N} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{n}(t)=N^{(N-1) / N} \omega_{N-1}^{1 / N} z_{n}(\rho)=\alpha_{N}^{(N-1) / N} z_{n}(\rho) .
$$

Then $w_{n}(t)$ is an increasing function on $[0, \infty)$. One checks easily that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|w_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{N} d t=w_{N-1} \int_{0}^{R}\left|\frac{d}{d \rho} z_{n}(\rho)\right|^{N} \rho^{N-1} d \rho=\int_{B_{R}}\left|\nabla z_{n}(x)\right|^{N} d x
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} F\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{N}^{(N-1) / N}} w_{n}(t)\right) e^{-t} d t & =\frac{N}{R^{N}} \int_{0}^{R} F\left(z_{n}(\rho)\right) \rho^{N-1} d \rho  \tag{10}\\
& =\frac{1}{m\left(B_{R}\right)} \int_{B_{R}} F\left(z_{n}(x)\right) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, since the sequence $u_{n}$ is concentrating in $x_{0}$, the sequence $z_{n}$ is concentrating in 0 and the sequence $w_{n}$ in $+\infty$, i.e. for any fixed $A>0$ we have $\int_{0}^{A}\left|w_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{N} d t \rightarrow 0$.
We now distinguish the cases:
a) there exists $a_{n} \in(0,+\infty)$ with $w_{n}^{N /(N-1)}\left(a_{n}\right)=a_{n}-2 \log \left(a_{n}\right)$. Since for a given interval $[0, A]$ we have, for $\eta>0$ arbitrarily small, that

$$
w_{n}^{N(N-1)}(t) \leq t\left(\int_{0}^{A}\left|w_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{N} d t\right)^{1 /(N-1)} \leq \eta t \text {, for } n \text { large }
$$

it follows that $a_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we have by assumption F3

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{A} F\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{N}^{(N-1) / N}} w_{n}(t)\right) e^{-t} d t & \leq \int_{0}^{A}\left(e^{w_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)}-1\right) e^{-t} d t \leq \int_{0}^{A}\left(e^{\eta t}-1\right) e^{-t} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{1-\eta}\left(1-e^{(\eta-1) A}\right)-1+e^{-A}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A$ is arbitrarily large and $\eta>0$ arbitrarily small, we conclude that $\int_{0}^{A} F\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{N}} w_{n}\right) e^{-t} d t \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Next, consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{A}^{a_{n}} F\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{N}^{(N-1) / N}} w_{n}(t)\right) e^{-t} d t & \leq \int_{A}^{a_{n}}\left(e^{t-2 \log t}-1\right) e^{-t} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{A}-\frac{1}{a_{n}}+e^{-a_{n}}-e^{A} \rightarrow 0, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, on $\left[a_{n},+\infty\right)$ we have $w_{n}^{N(N-1)}(t) \geq a_{n}-2 \log \left(a_{n}\right)$, and hence, by the assumption of subcriticality, we have for any $\epsilon>0$ fixed that $F(s) \leq$ $\epsilon\left(e^{\alpha_{N} s^{N /(N-1)}}-1\right)$, for all $s \geq a_{n}$ with $n$ sufficiently large; thus, for $n$ sufficiently large

$$
\int_{a_{n}}^{\infty} F\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{N}^{(N-1) / N}} w_{n}(t)\right) e^{-t} d t \leq \epsilon \int_{a_{n}}^{\infty} e^{w_{n}^{N(N-1)}(t)-t} d t \leq \epsilon c
$$

Hence, the theorem is proved in case a).
b) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ holds: $w_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)<t-2 \log ^{+} t$. Then, arguing as in a) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} F\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{N}^{(N-1) / N}} w_{n}(t)\right) e^{-t} d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{A} F\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{N}^{(N-1) / N}} w_{n}(t)\right) e^{-t} d t+\int_{A}^{\infty}\left(e^{t-2 \log t}-1\right) e^{-t} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be made arbitrarily small.

### 2.2 Concentration-compactness

For the subsequent proofs we rely on the following concentration-compactness result of P.L. Lions [7]:

Proposition 7 (P.L. Lions). Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{N} \leq 1$ for all $n$. We may suppose that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega),\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{N} \rightarrow \mu$ weakly in measure. Then either
(i) $\mu=\delta_{x_{0}}$, the Dirac measure of mass 1 concentrated at some $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$, and $u \equiv 0$, or
(ii) there exists $\beta>\alpha_{N}$ such that the family $u_{n}=e^{u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{\beta}(\Omega)$ and thus $\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha_{N}\left|u_{n}\right|^{N /(N-1)}} \rightarrow \int e^{\alpha_{N}|u|^{N /(N-1)}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, this is the case if $u$ is different from 0 .

### 2.3 Proof of Theorem 3:

Since $\sup _{\|u\|_{N}=1} \int_{\Omega} F(u) d x>0$, we conclude by Theorem 2 that there cannot exist a normalized concentrating sequence which is maximizing. By the concentration-compactness alternative of P.L. Lions we infer that the supremum is attained.

### 2.4 Proof of Theorem 4:

We proceed by the following steps:

1) if $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is any normalized concentrating sequence in $W_{0}^{1, N}\left(B_{1}\right)$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n}\right) d x \leq e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}|\Omega| ;
$$

2) give an explicit normalized concentrating sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ with

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} F\left(y_{n}\right) d x=e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}|\Omega| ;
$$

1. Upper bound: In this proof we follow Carleson-Chang [3]. First note that by F3) and the transformation in section 2.1 it suffices to show that for any normalized concentrating sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \in C^{1}[0, \infty)$, i.e $\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{N}=1$, $\int_{0}^{A}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{N} \rightarrow 0$, with $u_{n}(0)=0, u_{n}^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(e^{u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}-t}-1\right) d t \leq e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

More precisely, we show:
If $\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}-t}>2$, then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has the following properties (cf. [3], p.117)
(a) if $a_{n} \in[1, \infty)$ denotes the first point with $u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}\left(a_{n}\right)=a_{n}-2 \log a_{n}$, then $a_{n} \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a_{n}}^{\infty} e^{u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}-t} d t \leq e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}  \tag{b}\\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{a_{n}} e^{u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}-t} d t=1 \tag{c}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proof:

Estimate (11) follows clearly from (b) and (c).
Property a): following [3], we note that the point $a_{n}$ exists, for $n$ large enough; if not, $u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)<t-2 \log ^{+}(t)$ for all $t$ and thus
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}-t} \leq 2$, contradicting the assumption.
Next, for each $A>0$, we can choose numbers $n_{0}$ and $\eta>0$ such that for all $t \in[0, A]$ and all $n \geq n_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{N(N-1)}(t) \leq t\left(\int_{0}^{A}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{N} d t\right)^{1 /(N-1)} \leq \eta t<t-2 \log ^{+} t . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $a_{n} \geq A$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$, and since $A$ is arbitrary, we have $a_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Property c): Note that (12) implies also that $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact sets. Using that $a_{n}$ is the first point where $u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)=t-2 \log ^{+}(t)$ we have the following estimate from above

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{a_{n}} e^{u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)-t} d t & \leq e^{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{A} e^{-t} d t+\int_{A}^{a_{n}} e^{-2 \log t} d t \\
& =e^{\varepsilon}\left(1-e^{-A}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{A}-\frac{1}{a_{n}}\right) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad A \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we can estimate from below

$$
\int_{0}^{a_{n}} e^{u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)-t} d t \geq \int_{0}^{a_{n}} e^{-t} d t=1-e^{-a_{n}} \rightarrow 1 \text { as } a_{n} \rightarrow \infty
$$

Property b): We recall that in [3], Lemma 2, the following result was proved (note the misprint there: the factor $e^{\left(c^{n} / n\right)((n-1) / n)^{n} \beta_{n}}$ should read $\left.e^{\left(c^{n} / n\right)((n-1) / n)^{n-1} \beta_{n}}\right):$

Proposition 8 (Carleson-Chang). For $a>0$ and $\delta>0$ given, suppose that $\int_{a}^{\infty}\left|w^{\prime}\right|^{N} \leq \delta$; then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{\infty} e^{w^{N /(N-1)}(t)-t} d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1-\delta^{\frac{1}{N-1}}} \exp \left(w^{\frac{N}{N-1}}(a)\left[1+\frac{1}{N-1} \frac{\delta}{\left(1-\delta^{\frac{1}{N-1}}\right)^{(N-1)}}\right]-a\right) \cdot e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Apply this to $w(t)=u_{n}(t), a=a_{n}$, and $\delta=\delta_{n}=\int_{a_{n}}^{\infty}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{N} d t$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a_{n}}^{\infty} e^{u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)-t} d t \leq \frac{1}{1-\delta_{n}^{1 /(N-1)}} e^{K_{n}} \cdot e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{n}=u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}\left(a_{n}\right)\left[1+\frac{1}{N-1} \frac{\delta}{\left(1-\delta_{n}^{1 /(N-1)}\right)^{N-1}}\right]-a_{n}$. By

$$
u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}\left(a_{n}\right) \leq a_{n}\left(\int_{0}^{a_{n}}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{N} d t\right)^{1 /(N-1)}
$$

we have, using $\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{N /(N-1)}=1$, that

$$
u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}\left(a_{n}\right) \leq a_{n}\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)^{1 /(N-1)} .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{n} & \leq 1-\frac{u_{n}^{N}\left(a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{N-1}}=1-\left(1-\frac{2 \log ^{+}\left(a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}}\right)^{N-1} \\
& \leq(N-1) \frac{2 \log ^{+}\left(a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{n} & =u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}\left(a_{n}\right)\left[1+\frac{1}{N-1} \frac{\delta_{n}}{\left(1-\delta_{n}^{1 /(N-1)}\right)^{N-1}}\right]-a_{n} \\
& =\left(a_{n}-2 \log ^{+}\left(a_{n}\right)\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{N-1} \delta_{n}+O\left(\delta_{n}^{N /(N-1)}\right)\right)-a_{n} \\
& =-2 \log ^{+}\left(a_{n}\right)+a_{n} \frac{1}{N-1} \delta_{n}+O\left(\left(\frac{\log ^{N}\left(a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}}\right)^{1 /(N-1)}\right) \\
& \leq O\left(\left(\frac{\log ^{N}\left(a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}}\right)^{1 /(N-1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $a_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ we see that $\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{n} \leq 0$. Thus, we get by (13)

$$
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a_{n}}^{\infty} e^{u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)-t} d t \leq e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}
$$

2. The maximizing concentrating sequence: We first consider the function $F(t)=e^{\alpha_{N} t^{N /(N-1)}}$ with $\Omega=B_{1}(0)$. We want to produce an explicit normalized concentrating sequence of functions $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in C[0, \infty)$, piecewise differentiable, with $y_{n}(0)=0$ and $y_{n}^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ and such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{y_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)-t} d t \rightarrow 1+e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}
$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set $\delta_{n}=\frac{2 \log n}{n}$, and let

$$
y_{n}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{t}{n^{1 / N}}\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}  \tag{14}\\
\frac{N-1}{\left(n\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / N}} \log \frac{A_{n+1}}{A_{n}+e^{-(t-n) /(N-1)}}+\left(n\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}, \quad n \leq t \leq n
\end{array}\right.
$$

First note that $y_{n}(t)$ is continuous and piecewise differentiable; furthermore we have

$$
\int_{0}^{n}\left|y_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{N} d t=\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)^{N-1}
$$

We now choose $A_{n}$ in (14) such that $\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|y_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{N} d t=1$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{n}^{\infty}\left|y_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{N} d t=1-\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)^{N-1}=(N-1) \delta_{n}+\sigma_{N}\left(\delta_{n}^{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{N}(s)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0, & N=2 \\
O(s), & \mathrm{N} \geq 3
\end{array}\right.
$$

We show that such a choice for $A_{n}$ is possible, with

$$
A_{n}=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \frac{1}{e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}}+ \begin{cases}O\left(1 / n^{4}\right), & N=2  \tag{16}\\ O\left(\log ^{2}(n) / n^{3}\right) & , N \geq 3\end{cases}
$$

Indeed, using the change of variables $s=t-n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{n}^{\infty}\left|y_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{N} d t \\
& =\int_{n}^{\infty} \frac{(N-1)^{N}}{n\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)}\left|\frac{d}{d t}\left[\log \left(A_{n}+1\right)-\log \left(A_{n}+e^{-(t-n) /(N-1)}\right)\right]\right|^{N} d t \\
& =\int_{n}^{\infty} \frac{(N-1)^{N}}{n\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)}\left|\frac{\frac{1}{N-1} e^{-(t-n) /(N-1)}}{A_{n}+e^{-(t-n) /(N-1)}}\right|^{N} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, setting $r=e^{s /(N-1)}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(N-1)^{N}}{n\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{\frac{1}{N-1} e^{-s /(N-1)}}{A_{n}+e^{-s /(N-1)}}\right|^{N} d s \\
& =\frac{(N-1)^{N}}{n\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)} \int_{1}^{\infty}\left|\frac{\frac{1}{N-1}}{A_{n} r+1}\right|^{N} \frac{N-1}{r} d r \\
& =\frac{N-1}{n\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(A_{n} r+1\right)^{N} r} d r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, set $\rho=\frac{1}{r}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{N-1}{n\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(A_{n} r+1\right)^{N} r} d r \\
& =\frac{N-1}{n\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\rho^{N-1}}{\left(A_{n}+\rho\right)^{N}} d \rho \\
& =\frac{N-1}{n\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)}\left(\log \frac{A_{n}+1}{A_{n}}-\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(N-k)\left(A_{n}+1\right)^{N-k}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (15) this gives the condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{N-1}{n\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)}\left(\log \frac{A_{n}+1}{A_{n}}-\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(N-k)\left(A_{n}+1\right)^{N-k}}\right) \\
& =(N-1) \delta_{n}+\sigma_{N}\left(\delta_{n}^{2}\right)=(N-1) \frac{2 \log n}{n}+\sigma_{N}\left(\frac{\log ^{2} n}{n^{2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\log \frac{A_{n}+1}{A_{n}}-\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(N-k)\left(A_{n}+1\right)^{N-k}}=\left(2 \log n+\sigma_{N}\left(\frac{\log ^{2} n}{n}\right)\right)\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A_{n}+1}{A_{n}} \exp \left(-\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(N-k)\left(A_{n}+1\right)^{N-k}}\right)=n^{2}\left(1+\sigma_{N}\left(\frac{\log ^{2} n}{n}\right)\right), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and finally that

$$
\frac{A_{n}+1}{A_{n} n^{2}}=e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}+\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
O\left(1 / n^{2}\right), & N=2  \tag{18}\\
O\left(\log ^{2}(n) / n\right) & , \\
N \geq 3
\end{array} \quad \text {, for } n\right. \text { large }
$$

This yields (16).
3. The limit: We now calculate the limit of $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{y_{n}^{N /(N-1)}-t} d t$.

Proposition 9 Let $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ denote the sequence (14). Then

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{y_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)-t} \rightarrow 1+e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}} \quad, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Proof. a) By the upper bound proved above we have (since $y_{n}$ is a normalized concentrating sequence) that

$$
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{y_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)-t} \leq 1+e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}
$$

We now prove the other inequality:
b) We first prove that there exists some $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{n} e^{y_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)-t} \geq 1+\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{N-1}\right)}{n^{1 /(N-1)}}-c \frac{\log n}{n^{N /(N-1)}}, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma$ denotes the standard gamma-function. Indeed

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{n} e^{y_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)-t} d t & =\int_{0}^{n} e^{\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)\left(\mathrm{t}^{N} / n\right)^{1 /(N-1)}-t} d t \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{n}\left(1+\frac{1-\delta_{n}}{n^{1 /(N-1)}} t^{N /(N-1)}\right) e^{-t} d t \\
& \geq 1-e^{-n}+\frac{1-\delta_{n}}{n^{1 /(N-1)}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{N /(N-1)} e^{-t} d t-\int_{n}^{\infty} e^{-t / 2}\right) \\
& \geq 1+\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{N-1}\right)}{n^{1 /(N-1)}}-c \frac{\log n}{n^{N /(N-1)}} \quad, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

by the definition of the gamma-function.
c) Next we prove

$$
\int_{n}^{\infty} e^{y_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)-t} d t \geq e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}+\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right) & , \quad N=2  \tag{20}\\
O\left(\frac{\log ^{2} n}{n}\right) & , \quad N \geq 3
\end{array}\right.
$$

We perform the change of variables $s=t-n$, and set

$$
z_{n}(s)=\frac{N-1}{n^{1 / N}\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)^{1 / N}} \log \frac{A_{n}+1}{A_{n}+e^{-s /(N-1)}},
$$

and

$$
d_{n}=n\left(1-\delta_{n}\right) .
$$

Then $\int_{n}^{\infty} e^{y_{n}^{N /(N-1)}-t} d t$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(\left[d_{n}^{\frac{N-1}{N}}+z_{n}(s)\right]^{\frac{N}{N-1}}-s-n\right) d s  \tag{21}\\
& \geq \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(d_{n}+\frac{N}{N-1} z_{n}(s) d_{n} \frac{1}{N-1}-s-n\right) d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(\frac{N}{N-1} z_{n}(s) n^{\frac{1}{N}}\left(1-\delta_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}-n \delta_{n}-s\right) d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(N \log \frac{A_{n}+1}{A_{n}+e^{-s /(N-1)}}-n \delta_{n}-s\right) d s \\
& =\frac{1}{n^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1+A_{n}}{A_{n}+e^{-s /(N-1)}}\right)^{N} e^{-s} d s \\
& =\frac{\left(1+A_{n}\right)^{N}}{n^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1+A_{n} e^{s /(N-1)}\right)^{N} e^{-s N /(N-1)}} e^{-s} d s \\
& =\frac{\left(1+A_{n}\right)^{N}}{n^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{s /(N-1)}}{\left(1+A_{n} e^{s /(N-1)}\right)^{N}} d s \\
& =\frac{\left(1+A_{n}\right)^{N}}{n^{2}}(N-1) \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1+A_{n} r\right)^{N}} d r \\
& =\frac{\left(1+A_{n}\right)^{N}}{n^{2}} \frac{1}{A_{n}\left(1+A_{n}\right)^{N-1}} \\
& =\frac{1+A_{n}}{n^{2} A_{n}}=e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}+\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right), & N=2 \\
O\left(\frac{\log ^{2} n}{n}\right) & , \quad N \geq 3
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

by relation (18). Hence the claim.
4. General nonlinearities $F$ : Suppose now that $F(t)$ is a general nonlinearity with critical growth, satisfying hypotheses F1-F3. Then we may write

$$
F(t)=e^{\alpha_{N} t^{N /(N-1)}}-1+G(t)
$$

with

$$
\frac{G(t)}{e^{\alpha_{N} t^{N /(N-1)}}} \rightarrow 0 \quad, \quad \text { as } \quad t \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then, by Theorems 2 and 4 we have for any normalized concentrating sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n}\right) d x & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(e^{\alpha_{N} u_{n}^{N /(N-1)}}-1\right) d x+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} G\left(u_{n}\right) d x \\
& \left.\leq e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots .+\frac{1}{N-1}} \right\rvert\, \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

while for the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ given in (14) holds

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} F\left(y_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(e^{\alpha_{N} y_{n}^{N /(N-1)}}-1+G\left(y_{n}\right)\right) d x=e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots .+\frac{1}{N-1}}|\Omega|
$$

### 2.5 Proof of Theorem 5:

We show that under condition F4

$$
C_{N, \lambda}=\sup _{\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{N}=1} \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{N}^{(N-1) / N}} u\right) e^{-t} d t>e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}
$$

Indeed, by the estimates (19) and (21) we have for $n$ sufficiently large

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{y_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)-t} \\
& =\int_{0}^{n} e^{y_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)-t}+\int_{n}^{\infty} e^{y_{n}^{N /(N-1)}(t)-t} \geq \\
& \geq 1+\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{N-1}\right)}{n^{1 /(N-1)}}-c \frac{\log n}{n^{N /(N-1)}}+e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}+\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right), & N=2 \\
O\left(\frac{\log ^{2} n}{n}\right) & , \quad N \geq 3
\end{array} .\right.
\end{aligned} .
$$

Furthermore, we can estimate the term

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|y_{n}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}} e^{-t} d t & \leq \frac{\lambda}{n^{1 /(N-1)}} \int_{0}^{n}|t|^{N /(N-1)} e^{-t} d t+c \int_{n}^{\infty} n e^{-t} d t \\
& \leq \lambda \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{N-1}\right)}{n^{1 /(N-1)}}+c \frac{\log n}{n^{N /(N-1)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we obtain for $\lambda<1$ and a suitably large $n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{N, \lambda} & =\sup _{\int\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{N}=1} \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{N}^{(N-1) / N}} u\right) e^{-t} d t \geq \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{N}^{(N-1) / N}} y_{n}\right) e^{-t} d t \\
& \geq e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}}+(1-\lambda) \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{N-1}\right)}{n^{1 /(N-1)}}-c \frac{\log n}{n^{N /(N-1)}}+\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right) \quad, \quad N=2 \\
O\left(\frac{\log ^{2} n}{n}\right) \quad, \quad N \geq 3
\end{array}\right. \\
& >e^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{N-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, since by Theorem 4 there cannot exist a normalized concentrating sequence which is maximizing for $C_{N, \lambda}$, we conclude by the concentrationcompactness theorem of P.L. Lions that $C_{N, \lambda}$ is attained.

Open problem: Show that $\sup _{\|u\|=1} \int_{B_{1}} F(u) d x$ is not attained for $F(t)$ of the form

$$
F(t)=e^{\alpha_{N} t^{N /(N-1)}}-g(t)
$$

with $g$ subcritical, and

$$
g(t) \geq t^{N /(N-1)}
$$

### 2.6 Proof of Theorem 6:

We restrict attention to the radial case, i.e $\Omega=B_{1}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Consider the functional $I(u)=\int_{B_{1}}\left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}-F(u)\right] d x$, where $F(s)$ is as in Theorem 6. Then we know by [5] that this functional satisfies the Palais-Smale condition $(P S)_{c}$ for $c<\frac{1}{2}$. By the remarks in section 2.1, we may assume that $u_{n}$ is radially symmetric, and we can rewrite the functional in radial coordinates:

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{r}\right|^{2}-F(u)\right] 2 \pi r d r
$$

Cancelling the factor $2 \pi$ we see that $\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{r}\right|^{2}-\int_{0}^{1} F(u)\right] r d r$ satisfies $(P S)_{c}$ for $c<\frac{1}{4 \pi}$. Next, we perform a change of variables to transform the interval $(0,1)$ to the interval $(0,+\infty)$ : Let

$$
r=e^{-t / 2}, d r=-\frac{1}{2} e^{-t / 2} d t, u_{t}=u_{r} \frac{d r}{d t}=-\frac{1}{2} u_{r} e^{-t / 2}
$$

and hence we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left|2 u_{t} e^{t / 2}\right|^{2}-F(u)\right] \frac{1}{2} e^{-t} d t
$$

Multiplying by 2 we see that the functional

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[2\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}-F(u) e^{-t}\right] d t
$$

satsifies $(P S)_{c}$ for $c<\frac{1}{2 \pi}$. Finally, substitute $y=2 \sqrt{\pi} u$ and multiply by $\pi$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(y)=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2}-\pi F\left(\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} y\right) e^{-t}\right] d t \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies again $(P S)_{c}$ for $c<\frac{1}{2}$.

### 2.6.1 Estimates for (P-S)

We now show that the functional $J(u)$ given by (22) (which satisfies $(P S)_{c}$ for $c<\frac{1}{2}$ ) has a critical level $c$ with $c<\frac{1}{2}$ provided $h$ satisfies condition (9).
Theorem 10 Suppose that $f(s)=h(s) e^{4 \pi s^{2}}$ satisfies H1-H3, and assume that $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} h(s) s>\frac{1}{e \pi}$. Then $J(u)$ has a critical level below $\frac{1}{2}$.
Proof: As in [5], the critical level is given by the mountain pass theorem. To prove that the mountain pass level is below $\frac{1}{2}$ it suffices to show that there is a $w \in H_{0}^{1},\|w\|=1$, such that $\max _{t \geq 0} J(t w)<\frac{1}{2}$. In [5] we used the Moser sequence to show this. Here we use the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ given in (14). So we assume, by way of contradiction, that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\max _{s \geq 0} J\left(s y_{n}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{2} s_{n}^{2}\left|y_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{2}-\pi F\left(\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} s_{n} y_{n}\right) e^{-t}\right] d t \geq \frac{1}{2} .
$$

This implies $s_{n}^{2} \geq 1$. Furthermore, since $\left.\frac{d}{d s} J\left(s y_{n}\right)\right|_{s=s_{n}}=0$ we have for $n$ sufficiently large, using condition (9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{n}^{2} & =\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{s_{n}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} y_{n}\right) \frac{s_{n}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} y_{n} e^{-t} \\
& =\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} h\left(\frac{s_{n}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} y_{n}\right) \frac{s_{n}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} y_{n} \cdot e^{s_{n}^{2} y_{n}^{2}-t} \\
& \geq(\beta-\epsilon) \pi \int_{n}^{\infty} e^{s_{n}^{2}(n-2 \log n)-t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We show that $s_{n}^{2} \rightarrow 1$; assume that this is not so, i.e. suppose that there exists a subsequence of $s_{n}$ with $s_{n}^{2} \geq 1+\delta$, for some $\delta>0$. Then we have

$$
s_{n}^{2} \geq(\beta-\epsilon) \pi \int_{n}^{\infty} e^{(1+\delta)(n-2 \log n)-t}=(\beta-\epsilon) \pi e^{\delta n-(1+\delta) 2 \log n}
$$

This would imply that $s_{n}^{2} \rightarrow+\infty$, which then yields a contradiction. Hence we must have

$$
s_{n}^{2} \rightarrow 1
$$

We now estimate more precisely; fix $A>0$ and set $\left[0, b_{n}\right)=\{t \in[0, \infty)$ : $\left.s_{n} y_{n}(t)<A\right\}$. Since $y_{n}(t)=\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{1-\delta_{n}} \rightarrow 0$, for every fixed $t \geq 0$, we conclude that $b_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{n}^{2} & \geq(\beta-\epsilon) \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{s_{n}^{2} y_{n}^{2}-t}+\pi \int_{0}^{b_{n}} f\left(\frac{s_{n}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} y_{n}\right) \frac{s_{n}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} y_{n}  \tag{23}\\
& -(\beta-\epsilon) \pi \int_{0}^{b_{n}} e^{s_{n}^{2} y_{n}^{2}-t}
\end{align*}
$$

The last integral in (23) goes to 1: indeed, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{b_{n}} e^{-t} \leq \int_{0}^{b_{n}} e^{s_{n}^{2} u_{n}^{2}-t}=\int_{0}^{b_{\epsilon}} e^{s_{n}^{2} u_{n}^{2}-t}+\int_{b_{\epsilon}}^{b_{n}} e^{s_{n}^{2} u_{n}^{2}-t}
$$

where we choose for given $\epsilon>0$ the number $b_{\epsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\int_{b_{\epsilon}}^{b_{n}} e^{s_{n}^{2} y_{n}^{2}-t} \leq e^{s_{n}^{2} A^{2}} \int_{b_{\epsilon}}^{b_{n}} e^{-t} \leq \epsilon / 2, \forall n
$$

Next, using that $y_{n}(t) \leq \tau_{n} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $\left[0, b_{\epsilon}\right]$, choose $N_{\epsilon}$ sufficently large such that

$$
\int_{0}^{b_{\epsilon}} e^{s_{n}^{2} y_{n}^{2}-t} \leq e^{s_{n}^{2} \tau_{n}^{2}} \int_{0}^{b_{\epsilon}} e^{-t} \leq 1+\epsilon / 2, \text { for } n \geq N_{\epsilon}
$$

The second integral in (23) is positive, and in fact goes to zero (as can be seen using a similar argument). Hence we have in the limit, using theorem 4 for $N=2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
1=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n}^{2} & =(\beta-\epsilon) \pi\left[\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{s_{n}^{2} y_{n}^{2}-t} d t-1\right] \\
& \geq(\beta-\epsilon) \pi\left[\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{y_{n}^{2}-t} d t-1\right] \\
& =(\beta-\epsilon) \pi e
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for $\beta>\frac{1}{e \pi}$ given, we obtain a contradiction, choosing $\epsilon>0$ sufficiently small.
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